



Department: Water and Sanitation REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



SDG6 – NORTHERN CAPE BI-ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT Jul - Dec 2021

WATER SERVICES, PROJECTS, AND SUPPORT

COMPILED BY: DWS NC - PLANNING AND SUPPORT



Contents

| 1 | BAC | KGROUND | 3 |
|---|-------|---|----|
| 2 | REG | IONAL STRUCTURE | 4 |
| | 2.1 | FUNCTIONS OF THE NORTHERN CAPE REGIONAL SDG6 TASK TEAM | 4 |
| 3 | PRO | GRESS REPORT | 6 |
| | 3.1 | MUNICIPAL SERVICES AND VULNERABILITY | 6 |
| | 3.2 | SDG 6.1 – SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL | 10 |
| | 3.3 | SDG 6.2 – EQUITABLE SANITATION FOR ALL | 19 |
| | 3.4 | SDG 6.3 – WATER QUALITY | 0 |
| | 3.4.2 | 1 MUNICIPAL WATER QUALITY STATUS | 1 |
| | 3.5 | SDG 6.4 – WATER USE EFFICIENCY | 6 |
| | 3.5.2 | 1 VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION STATUS | 6 |
| | 3.5.2 | 2 LOWER VAAL | 7 |
| | 3.5.3 | 3 LOWER ORANGE | 8 |
| | 3.5.4 | 4 HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDIs) - PROGRESS | 9 |
| | 3.5.5 | 5 MUNICIPAL NON-REVENUE WATER | 15 |
| | 3.6 | SDG 6.5 – INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT | 16 |
| | 3.7 | SDG 6.6 – PROTECTING THE ECOSYSTEM | 18 |
| | 3.7.2 | 1 Enforcement on WWTW discharge and Pump stations | 19 |
| | 3.8 | SDG 6.A – INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION | 22 |
| | 3.8.2 | 1 Construction of the Vioolsdrifts Dam | 22 |
| | 3.9 | SDG 6.B – COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION | 25 |
| 4 | OVE | RALL PROGRESS TOWARDS REACHING THE 2030 GOALS IN THE PROVINCE | 27 |
| | 4.1 | SDG 6.1 & 6.2 Completed Projects | 27 |
| | 4.1.2 | 1 WSIG projects since 2017/8 | 27 |
| | 4.1.2 | 2 Completed RBIG projects since FY 2016/17 | |
| 5 | COV | 'ID 19 IMPACT | |
| | 5.1 | MONITORING INDICATORS | 40 |
| | 5.2 | The Northern Cape Backlog Model | 40 |
| | 5.3 | Water Availability Considerations | 43 |
| | 5.4 | Hotspot Selection and Tank Locations | 46 |
| | 5.5 | RESPONSE | 49 |
| | 5.5.2 | 1 Emergency Water Provision | 49 |
| | 5.6 | COMMON CHALLENGES AND WAY FORWARD | 52 |

Since the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) were endorsed "without reservations" by all Heads of State, including South Africa, on 25 September 2015, South Africa has embraced the opportunity to deliver on the SDG requirements and improve water security and the service of water and sanitation business to its people.

The SDG goals and targets came into effect on 1 January 2016 and will guide the decisions taken within South Africa over the next fifteen years. The target date for outcomes to be achieved is 2030. The SDG targets are indeed valid for and applicable to South Africa. They are also in line with the Vision 2030 (the National Development Plan) as well as Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) Outcome targets.

The Statistics South Africa (STATSSA) is the focal point for all 17 SDGs in the country. Of these 17 goals to be attained by 2030, there is a dedicated water and sanitation goal, Goal 6, with the objective to ensure access to water and sanitation for all. However, it is acknowledged that water is inherently reflected and/or implied in various other goals. The Deputy Director General: Planning & Information is responsible to oversee the implementation of SDG6 in SA on behalf of the Department and with collaboration with STATSSA. The day-to-day implementation of SDG6 programme is the responsibility of Chief Director: Water Services and Local Water Management. SDG 6 contains 6 sub-goals and 2 sub targets, all focusing directly on water and sanitation services and water resource management, namely:

- 6.1 achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all
- 6.2 achieve access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene for all and end open defecation, paying special attention to the needs of women and girls and those in vulnerable situations
- 6.3 improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse globally
- 6.4 substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater to address withdrawals and supply of freshwater to address water scarcity and substantially reduce the number of people suffering from water scarcity
- 6.5 implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through trans-boundary cooperation as appropriate
- 6.6 by 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers, and lakes
- 6.a expand international cooperation and capacity-building support to developing countries in water- and sanitation-related activities and programmes, including water harvesting, desalination, water efficiency, wastewater treatment, recycling, and reuse technologies
- 6.b support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management.

To facilitate the implementation of SDG6 programme the DWS SDG6 Working Group has been established within the Branch Planning & Information. The working group is an overarching forum that provides strategic direction to various task teams of various SDG6 sub-goals. Each task team is therefore expected to develop their own ToR including an action plan on how data specific to its target is going to be collected, processed, analysed, reported, etc. It is also the responsibilities of task teams to develop indicators and monitoring programmes to monitor the achievement of targets of their respective sub-goals and indicators.

2 REGIONAL STRUCTURE

The Northern Cape Regional SDG 6 Task Team has been established within the Branch: Planning & Information, which is led by:

Chair: Kobus Streuders

2.1 FUNCTIONS OF THE NORTHERN CAPE REGIONAL SDG6 TASK TEAM

There are 4 key functional areas for which this Task Team is responsible. These include

- 1. Communication with the Sector
- 2. Supporting the Sector
- 3. Reporting Regional progress towards achieving SDG 6 by 2030
- 4. General operational activities pertaining to the Task Team objective

The four functions can be unpacked as follows:

Communication with the Sector

- To communicate with the Water Sector regarding progress of SDG 6.
- Provide a linkage to other similar work in both the national sector and international representative.

Supporting the Sector

• The support broadly, involves providing strategic guidance and identifying and implementing special projects contributing to the SGD 6.

- Provide strategic support and recommendations to the sector in terms of how they can close the gaps identified within their respective sub goals.
- Coordinate support activities where possible
- Reporting Regional progress towards achieving SDG6 by 2030
- Develop a reporting framework, implement systems and processes required to report progress regarding achievement of this task team SDG6 target
- Report on progress of this task team annually

General Operations

- To coordinate monthly/quarterly meetings as required with each of the Task Team members to assess progress, challenges, and other points of discussion. Minutes must be maintained accordingly and copied to the Programme Coordinator for information.
- The Task Team Leader to participate on the Quarterly SDG6 Working Group meetings.
- To provide quarterly progress reports to the SDG6 Working Group relating to the Task Team Functions.
- To consider other areas of importance relating to the target as they arise and assess the level of support, if any.
- To review this ToR every year in line with the action plan.
- To implement the Action Plan of the Task Team.

3 PROGRESS REPORT

3.1 MUNICIPAL SERVICES AND VULNERABILITY

The Department of Human Settlements, Water and Sanitation, and the Directorate: Water Services Planning perform an annual round of Municipal Strategic Self Assessments (MuSSA). The MuSSA is a multiple-choice questionnaire which is filled in online at http://ws.dwa.gov.za/mussa/. It provides vitally important information that reflects not only the state of the municipal water and sanitation business, but also the state of the institution itself. This in turn gives insight to all sector partners, which include DWS, the Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs (via integration with the Back-2-Basics Programme), National Treasury, The Planning Commission / Office of the Presidency, and the South African Local Government Association (SALGA). By leveraging the MuSSA as a strategic tool, it is possible for the municipality to manage your water and sanitation vulnerabilities, which allows for the provision of targeted support to the municipality by DWS and sector partners, as well as access to existing funding structures.

By tracking your MuSSA status, incorporating the vulnerabilities into Municipal Risk Registers and taking the appropriate corrective actions, municipalities can better manage water and sanitation services. Eighteen business attributes are measured, ranging from water and sanitation services provision, financial asset management to skill levels of personnel.

The vulnerability of a municipality is then classified between Low to Extreme. The table below show the vulnerability of Northern Cape Municipalities for the past three assessments. From 2019/20 to 2020/21, the number of municipalities in the Extreme Vulnerability category has decreased from 15 to 14.

| Northern Cape MuSSA Vulnerability 2018 - 2020 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-------|------|------|------------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Vulnorability | | No of | WSAs | | Percentage | | | | | | |
| vumerability | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | | | |
| Extreme | 14 | 15 | 14 | 9 | 54 | 58 | 54 | 35 | | | |
| High | 7 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 27 | 31 | 35 | 23 | | | |
| Moderate | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 12 | | | |
| Low | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | | | |
| No Data | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 31 | | | |

Table 1: Breakdown of the varying service levels encountered throughout the province.



Figure 1: MuSSA for 2019/20 (left) vs 2020/21 (middle) vs 2021/22 (right).



Vulnerability Index Ranking from Municipal Self Assessments

Figure 2: Vulnerability Classification comparison for individual LMs between 2019/20 and 2020/21.



Figure 3: Individual assessment categories arranged from most to least vulnerable.

Important areas to note are:

- 88.46% of WSAs are not implementing an up to date and Council adopted water and sanitation services plan (e.g., WSDP)
- **84.62%** WSAs indicate that they are reliant on grant funding for >75% of their capital expenditure
- 84.62% of WSAs do not invest adequately in asset renewal, with <75% of asset renewal investment as percentage of depreciation costs
- 38.46% of WSAs indicate that money is owed to major/critical service providers (e.g., ESKOM, water board) on at least a quarterly basis
- **65.38%** of WSAs indicate a net deficit from water services activities; this could be due to 80% of WSAs noting a revenue collection rate less than 70% of that billed.
- 92.31% of WSAs have not developed, approved, and implemented an ICT Technology Master Plan that addresses current and future IT infrastructure requirements.\

- **53.85%** of WSAs indicate that <90% of customers have a functional, reliable, and safe water supply system (with sufficient quantity and flow, good quality and minimal interruptions)
- 80.77% of WSAs indicate that their Infrastructure Asset Management Plan is not appropriate
- **57.69%** of WSAs indicate that <90% of customers have a functional reliable, dignified, and safe sanitation system (with minimal blockages resulting in overflows that impact on the environment)
- **53.85%** of WSAs do not have technical support staff that have the correct skills/qualifications and experience as per job description requirements (<75% of requirements)
- **76.92%** of WSAs indicate inadequate implementation of IAM plan outcomes (<75% outcomes being addressed). This is largely due to limited budget allocations, with 90% of WSAs indicating inadequate budget.
- **53.85%** of WSAs indicate that they do not have sufficient water and sewerage/sanitation network operations and repair staff/plumbers (<75% as per requirements)
- **34.62%** of WSAs indicate that they have not adequately implemented a detailed plan and program to provide safe sanitation for all households (i.e., <75% implementation)
- **65.38%** of WSAs note that Extreme and/or Highly Vulnerability MuSSA Business Aspects are not effectively included into their Corporate Risk Register.
- 69.23% of WSAs indicate serious sanitation programme underfunding (<75% of required budget)
- **88.46%** of WSAs indicate non-revenue water >30%, while 100% of WSAs indicate non-revenue water >20%
- **61.54%** of WSAs indicate that they are not implementing appropriate intervention programmes to reduce NRW (<75% as per requirements)
- **46.15%** WSAs indicate that <75% of required funds have been made available to address issues identified through wastewater and environmental risk management processes (e.g., wastewater risk abatement planning)
- **73.08%** of WSAs indicate that Water Treatment Works are either already over capacity or rapidly approaching capacity (>90% of total design capacity)
- 96.15% of WSAs indicate that they are not disposing/reusing all their sludge in accordance with licence conditions/guidelines
- **61.54%** of WSAs indicate that required corrective actions/remedial measures to address water system risk identified through water safety planning have not been implemented (i.e., <75% implementation)
- **73.08%** of WSAs indicate that required corrective actions/remedial measures to address wastewater system risk identified through wastewater risk abatement planning have not been implemented.
- **46.15%** of WSAs indicate inadequate provision of required water and conservation water demand management related data to the regulator.
- 96.15% of WSAs indicate that they do not have an approved water resilience policy in place (which includes optimisation of existing water resources, diversifying supply to increase water security, and optimisation of the "water mix"

3.2 SDG 6.1 – SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL

The Northern Cape Water Services Infrastructure Database (NCWSID) has been under development of the past decade. A detailed geospatial service model of has been developed via:

- Capturing of as-built diagrams from completed projects as implemented by DWS and MIG.
- Engagements with consultants implementing municipal projects.
- Obtaining yearly updated stand information from the Surveyor General's office.
- Groundwater surveys
- Annual municipal desktop surveys of the level of service rendered on a per stand level.

In its current form, the NCWSID consists of a Virtual Private Server (VPS) running in the cloud. The core of the system is the Dockerized PostgreSQL database, extended with geospatial functionality using PostGIS. From the database service, a GeoServer instance is used to perform map rendering. User level interactions are managed a Django web application, which is served through NGiNX. Direct connection to the database allows using stand-alone packages like QGIS and the in-house SpatialViewer.



Figure 4: The NCWSID configuration in its latest form.



Figure 5: Example of Sanitation Level of Service Maps produced – Siyancuma LM, Douglas.

Using the regional Water Services Infrastructure Database, the Northern Cape Department of Water and Sanitation performs yearly updates of the levels of service in each municipality.

The process involves:

- 1. Creating a detailed map showing the water and sanitation services rendered for each settlement in the Northern Cape. The total map count reaches approximately 1800. See example in Figure 5.
- 2. The created maps are forwarded to Local Municipalities for inputs and updates.
- 3. The updated maps are returned to the department, where it is processed, and the database is then updated.
- 4. The updated database is then used to produce the regional backlog model.

The regional backlog model breaks down the water and sanitation services provided on a per household level in each settlement within the Northern Cape. The model distinguishes between formal and informal stands. Formal stands are areas that have been surveyed by the Surveyor General's office and are thus eligible for municipal services. Informal stands have not been surveyed and are not fully eligible for formal municipal services.

| Water Services | Below RDP | Sanitation Services | Below RDP |
|---|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| House Connection | No | Flush to Treatment | No |
| Yard Connection | No | Conservancy Tank | No |
| Communal Standpipe closer than 200m from household | No | Septic tank | No |
| Communal Standpipe greater than 200m from household | Yes | UDS (Urine drainage system) | No |
| Communal Handpump | Yes | VIP (Ventilated Improved Pit) | No |
| No Water | Yes | Unimproved Pit | Yes |
| | | Bucket System | Yes |
| | | No Service | Yes |

Table 2: Breakdown of the varying service levels encountered throughout the province.

In In Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. and

Table 15 breakdowns of the most recent levels of service are given. Of importance in determining the appropriate response to any resurgence are those areas with No Service, Interim Service and Communal Standpipes greater than 200m from households. In some areas the number of communal standpipes within a 200m radius of households should also be considered, as connections in these areas are more prone to vandalism and hence more frequent service interruptions.

From the backlog model there are 6 564 unserved households on informal stands and 11 441 unserved households on formal stands. *Table 14* and

Table 15 breakdowns of the most recent levels of service are given. Of importance in determining the appropriate response to any resurgence are those areas with No Service, Interim Service and Communal Standpipes greater than 200m from households. In some areas the number of communal standpipes within a 200m radius of households should also be considered, as connections in these areas are more prone to vandalism and hence more frequent service interruptions.

From the backlog model there are **5 905** unserved households on informal stands and **10 883** unserved households on formal stands. Backlogs are being addressed via the implementation of infrastructure projects, as well as integrated planning with the MIG office of Cogstha. The projects of the region were prioritized as shown below to maximize the impact on backlogs

Table 3: Water Service Model on Formalized Stands

| District | Municipality | House Connection | Yard Connection | Communal Standpipe | Communal>200m | None | Unknown | Serviced | Backlog | % Served |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Frances Baard | Dikgatlong | 7 389 | 694 | 438 | - | - | 2 | 8 521 | 2 | 99.98 |
| | Magareng | 2 071 | 3 441 | - | - | 1 200 | 27 | 5 512 | 1 227 | 81.79 |
| | Phokwane | 12 175 | 672 | 2 110 | - | 630 | 9 | 14 957 | 639 | 95.90 |
| | Sol Plaatje | 48 237 | 1 371 | 1 901 | - | - | 224 | 51 509 | 224 | 99.57 |
| John Taolo Gaetsewe | Ga Segonyana | 6 580 | 1 942 | 12 529 | - | 1 286 | 30 | 21 051 | 1 316 | 94.12 |
| | Gamagara | 15 416 | 346 | 1 018 | - | 163 | 42 | 16 780 | 205 | 98.79 |
| | Joe Morolong | 1 120 | 325 | 20 740 | - | 1 270 | 35 | 18 828 | 1 305 | 80.15 |
| Namakwa | Hantam | 3 289 | 950 | - | - | 9 | - | 4 239 | 9 | 99.79 |
| | Kamiesberg | 1 587 | 1 064 | 164 | - | 119 | 23 | 2 815 | 142 | 95.20 |
| | Karoo Hoogland | 1 465 | 899 | - | - | 26 | - | 2 364 | 26 | 98.91 |
| | Khai-Ma | 1 529 | 744 | 5 | - | 230 | 3 | 2 278 | 233 | 90.72 |
| | Nama Khoi | 8 712 | 3 263 | 236 | - | 148 | 302 | 12 211 | 450 | 96.45 |
| | Richtersveld | 2 702 | 659 | 2 | - | 7 | 19 | 3 363 | 26 | 99.23 |
| Pixley ka Seme | Emthanjeni | 7 668 | 645 | - | - | 14 | 2 | 8 313 | 16 | 99.81 |
| | Kareeberg | 1 737 | 398 | - | - | - | - | 2 135 | - | 100.00 |
| | Renosterberg | 2 585 | 3 | 52 | - | 288 | - | 2 640 | 288 | 90.16 |
| | SiyaThemba | 3 407 | 720 | 209 | - | 42 | - | 4 336 | 42 | 99.04 |
| | Siyancuma | 5 959 | 580 | 257 | - | 93 | 51 | 6 796 | 144 | 97.93 |
| | Thembelihle | 2 047 | 10 | 189 | - | 107 | 33 | 2 246 | 140 | 94.13 |
| | Ubuntu | 3 983 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 3 985 | 1 | 99.97 |
| | Umsobomvu | 6 276 | 867 | 144 | - | 1 | 1 | 7 287 | 2 | 99.97 |
| ZFMgcawu | !Kheis | 1 278 | 1 221 | 292 | - | - | - | 2 791 | - | 100.00 |
| | Dawid Kruiper | 17 218 | 2 942 | 1 938 | - | 2 269 | 94 | 22 098 | 2 363 | 90.34 |
| | Kai !Garib | 4 388 | 2 365 | 459 | - | 1 954 | 126 | 7 212 | 2 080 | 77.62 |
| | Kgatelopele | 3 371 | - | 285 | - | 3 | - | 3 656 | 3 | 99.92 |
| | Tsantsabane | 8 027 | 10 | 150 | - | - | - | 8 187 | - | 100.00 |
| Total | | 180 216 | 26 133 | 43 118 | - | 9 859 | 1 024 | 246 110 | 10 883 | 94.53 |

Table 4: Water Service Model in Informal Areas

| District | Municipality | House Connection | Yard Connection | Communal Standpipe | None | Unknown | Serviced | Backlog | % Served |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Frances Baard | Dikgatlong | 632 | 620 | 4 246 | 127 | - | 5 498 | 127 | 98 |
| | Magareng | - | 29 | 567 | - | - | 596 | - | 100 |
| | Phokwane | 60 | - | - | 931 | - | 60 | 931 | 6 |
| | Sol Plaatje | 100 | 296 | 2 008 | 1 200 | - | 2 404 | 1 200 | 67 |
| John Taolo Gaetsewe | Ga Segonyana | - | 1 806 | 200 | 1 650 | - | 2 006 | 1 650 | 55 |
| | Gamagara | - | - | 200 | 162 | - | 200 | 162 | 55 |
| | Joe Morolong | - | - | - | 1 101 | - | - | 1 101 | - |
| Namakwa | Hantam | - | 40 | 47 | - | - | 87 | - | 100 |
| | Kamiesberg | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | 9 | - |
| | Karoo Hoogland | - | - | 89 | - | - | 89 | - | 100 |
| | Khai-Ma | - | 40 | - | - | - | 40 | - | 100 |
| | Nama Khoi | 43 | - | 125 | - | - | 168 | - | 100 |
| | Richtersveld | 25 | 15 | - | - | - | 40 | - | 100 |
| Pixley ka Seme | Emthanjeni | - | 41 | 500 | - | - | 541 | - | 100 |
| | Kareeberg | - | - | 196 | - | - | 196 | - | 100 |
| | Renosterberg | - | - | 191 | - | - | 191 | - | 100 |
| | SiyaThemba | - | 30 | 49 | - | - | 79 | - | 100 |
| | Siyancuma | 14 | 127 | 1 144 | - | 29 | 1 285 | 29 | 98 |
| | Thembelihle | - | - | 600 | - | - | 600 | - | 100 |
| | Ubuntu | 69 | 261 | 120 | - | - | 450 | - | 100 |
| | Umsobomvu | - | - | 370 | - | - | 370 | - | 100 |
| ZFMgcawu | !Kheis | - | - | - | 70 | - | - | 70 | - |
| | Dawid Kruiper | - | 117 | 12 | 400 | - | 129 | 400 | 24 |
| | Kai !Garib | 124 | 64 | 129 | 99 | 127 | 317 | 226 | 58 |
| | Tsantsabane | 220 | - | - | - | - | 220 | - | 100 |
| Total | | 1 287 | 3 486 | 10 793 | 5 749 | 156 | 15 566 | 5 905 | 72 |

The table below indicates the water and sanitation projects in the province ordered by priority. Statuses of the projects are also indicated. Table 5: List of projects in the Northern Cape organised by priority

| Priority | Project | Municipality | Funder | Status (Jul 2021 | Status (Dec 2021) |
|----------|--|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | Vaal Gamagara BWS | Various | RBIG | Construction | Construction |
| 2 | Replacement of Namakwa BWS | Nama Khoi | RBIG | Construction | Construction |
| 3 | Loeriesfontein BWS | Hantam | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 4 | Upgrading of Kameelmond WWTW | David Kruiper | RBIG | Procurement | Construction |
| 5 | Postmasburg Bulk water supply | Tsantsabane | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 6 | Postmasburg Bulk sanitation supply | Tsantsabane | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 7 | Vanwyksvlei Bulk Water Supply | Kareeberg | RBIG | Construction | Construction |
| 8 | Desalination plant in Port Nolloth | Richtersveld | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 9 | Warrenton WTW upgrade | Magareng | RBIG | Construction | Construction |
| 10 | Ritchie BWS | Sol Plaatje | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 11 | Marydale BWS | Siyathemba | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 12 | Bulk water supply to De Aar:Development of groundwater | Emthanjeni | WSIG | Planning | Procurement |
| 13 | Williston BWS | Karoo Hoogland | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 14 | Kuruman BWS | Ga-Segonyana | RBIG / SLP | Construction | Complete |
| 15 | Kathu BWS (Sesheng) | Gamagara | RBIG / SLP | Planning | Planning |
| 16 | Louisvale PS (New) | David Kruiper | RBIG / WSIG | Complete | Complete |
| 17 | Louisvale PS (Upgrade) | David Kruiper | RBIG / WSIG | Complete | Complete |
| 18 | Kakamas WTW with additional storage capacity | Kai!Garib | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 19 | Douglas WTW Upgrading | Siyancuma | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 20 | Britstown Oxidation Ponds | Emthanjeni | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 21 | Kathu BWS phase 2 | Gamagara | RBIG / SLP | Planning | Planning |
| 22 | Brandvlei BWS | Hantam | RBIG | Complete | Complete |
| 23 | Danielskuil Oxidation ponds | Kgatelopele | RBIG / MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 24 | Bulk Wastewater and distribution in Hartswater (WWTW) | Phokwane | WSIG | Construction | Complete |
| 25 | Upgrading of the Bulk water in Jan Kempdorp (WTW) | Phokwane | RBIG / MIG | Planning | Planning |

| Priority | Project | Municipality | Funder | Status (Jul 2021 | Status (Dec 2021) |
|----------|--|----------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| 26 | Refurbishment Kuruman STW & sewage pump station | Ga-Segonyana | WSIG | Complete | Complete |
| 27 | Construction of Campbell WWTW | Siyancuma | MIG | Planning | Planning |
| 28 | Vaal gamagara SD 4 Rural towns | Joe Morolong | WSIG / MIG | Planning | Planning |
| 29 | Garies Desalination & bulkwater | Kamiesberg | MIG | Complete | Complete |
| 30 | Linking services - bulk water Lerato Park | Sol Plaatje | MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 31 | Linking services - Bulk sanitation Lerato Park | Sol Plaatje | MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 32 | Port Nolloth WWTW upgrade | Richtersveld | MIG | Planning | Construction |
| 33 | Komaggas upgrading of bulkwater | Nama Khoi | MIG / WSIG | Construction | Construction |
| 34 | Upgrading of Dibeng WWTW & Sewer | Gamagara | MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 35 | Upgrading of Kathu WWTW phase 2 | Gamagara | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 36 | Gogga pump sewer outfall main | Sol Plaatje | MIG / WSIG | Complete | Complete |
| 37 | Upgrading of VIP/UDS to waterborne | Umsobomvu | MIG / WSIG | Construction | Construction |
| 38 | Upgrading of Boegoeberg Oxidation | !Kheis | WSIG / MIG | Planning | Planning |
| 39 | Ga-Segonyana Rural Sanitation Programme | Ga-Segonyana | WSIG / MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 40 | Joe Morolong Rural Sanitation Programme | Joe Morolong | WSIG / MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 41 | Melkstroom Bulk water | David Kruiper | MIG | Planning | Planning |
| 42 | Melkstroom Bulk sewer | David Kruiper | MIG | Procurement | Construction |
| 43 | Kathu BWS phase 3 | Gamagara | RBIG | Planning | Planning |
| 44 | Hondeklip Bay BWS | Kamiesberg | MIG / WSIG | Complete | Complete |
| 45 | Upgrading of sewer pump station in and around Galashewe | Sol Plaatje | MIG / WSIG | Construction | Construction |
| 46 | Replacement of West End/Tambo Square sewer outfall main | Sol Plaatje | MIG / WSIG | Planning | Planning |
| 47 | Sutherland Bulkwater | Karoo Hoogland | MIG | Complete | Complete |
| 48 | Windsorton WWTW upgrade | Dikgatlong | MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 49 | Ganspan WWTW & Related Bulk Sewer Infrastructure (MIG 1397) | Phokwane | MIG | Construction | Construction |
| 50 | Pampierstad Water | Phokwane | MIG | Procurement | Procurement |

3.3 SDG 6.2 – EQUITABLE SANITATION FOR ALL

Table 6: Sanitation Service Model on Formalized Stands

| District | Municipality | Flush to treatment | Conservancy Tank | Septic Tank | VIP | UDS | Unknown | Unimproved Pit | Bucket | None | Serviced | Backlog | % Served |
|---|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|--------|--------|----------|---------|----------|
| Frances Baard | Dikgatlong | 3 115 | 1 838 | 2 982 | 254 | 18 | 2 | - | - | 944 | 8 207 | 946 | 89.68 |
| | Magareng | 5 443 | - | 55 | - | 1 213 | 27 | 1 | - | - | 6 711 | 28 | 100.00 |
| ohn Taolo Gaetsewe C G J Jamakwa H Pixley ka Seme E | Phokwane | 13 515 | 38 | 56 | 2 | 533 | 9 | - | - | 1 443 | 14 144 | 1 452 | 90.74 |
| | Sol Plaatje | 48 155 | 29 | 9 | 3 | 1 342 | 224 | - | - | 1 971 | 49 538 | 2 195 | 96.17 |
| John Taolo Gaetsew e | Ga Segonyana | 6 586 | 457 | - | 4 083 | 1 236 | 34 | 8 448 | - | 1 523 | 12 362 | 10 005 | 89.03 |
| | Gamagara | 13 315 | 1 409 | 529 | - | 1 | 42 | 7 | - | 1 682 | 15 254 | 1 731 | 90.07 |
| | Joe Morolong | 448 | - | 123 | 7 517 | 5 349 | 17 | 10 069 | - | 2 | 13 437 | 10 088 | 99.99 |
| Namakwa | Hantam | 1 430 | 1 198 | 903 | 649 | 42 | 17 | - | - | 9 | 4 222 | 26 | 99.79 |
| | Kamiesberg | 713 | 278 | 11 | 387 | 1 441 | 87 | - | - | 40 | 2 830 | 127 | 98.61 |
| | Karoo Hoogland | 801 | 549 | 41 | - | 973 | - | - | - | 26 | 2 364 | 26 | 98.91 |
| | Khai-Ma | 1 155 | 600 | 1 | 432 | 122 | 1 | - | - | 200 | 2 310 | 201 | 92.03 |
| | Nama Khoi | 7 144 | 732 | 47 | 1 363 | 2 169 | 329 | 1 | 2 | 874 | 11 455 | 1 206 | 92.91 |
| | Richtersveld | 2 372 | 300 | 57 | 258 | 185 | 146 | 45 | - | 26 | 3 172 | 217 | 99.19 |
| Pixley ka Seme | Emthanjeni | 7 107 | 912 | 61 | - | 245 | 2 | - | - | 2 | 8 325 | 4 | 99.98 |
| | Kareeberg | 657 | 1 193 | - | 284 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 134 | 1 | 99.95 |
| | Renosterberg | 2 254 | 355 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 317 | 2 611 | 317 | 89.17 |
| | SiyaThemba | 3 265 | 450 | - | 469 | - | - | - | - | 194 | 4 184 | 194 | 95.57 |
| | Siyancuma | 4 749 | 757 | 1 | 2 | 564 | 51 | - | 815 | 1 | 6 073 | 867 | 99.98 |
| | Thembelihle | 2 045 | 178 | 1 | 46 | - | 4 | - | - | 112 | 2 270 | 116 | 95.30 |
| | Ubuntu | 2 406 | 646 | 9 | - | - | 1 | - | 923 | 1 | 3 061 | 925 | 99.97 |
| | Um sobom vu | 6 224 | 224 | - | 839 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 7 287 | 2 | 99.99 |
| ZFMgcawu | !Kheis | 626 | 981 | 7 | 27 | 1 004 | - | 135 | - | 11 | 2 645 | 146 | 99.59 |
| | Dawid Kruiper | 16 778 | 449 | 451 | 1 605 | 693 | 86 | 315 | 4 470 | 651 | 19 976 | 5 522 | 96.84 |
| | Kai !Garib | 3 680 | 817 | 574 | 483 | 1 560 | 137 | 66 | - | 1 975 | 7 114 | 2 178 | 78.27 |
| | Kgatelopele | 3 374 | 171 | 111 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 656 | 3 | 99.92 |
| | Tsantsabane | 6 209 | - | 1 067 | 624 | 200 | - | - | - | - | 8 100 | - | 100.00 |
| Total | | 163 566 | 14 561 | 7 098 | 19 327 | 18 890 | 1 217 | 19 087 | 6 210 | 12 009 | 223 442 | 38 523 | 85.29 |

Table 7: Sanitation Service Model in Informal Areas

| District | Municipality | Flush to treatment | Conservancy Tank | Septic Tank | VIP | UDS | Unknown | Unimproved Pit | Bucket | None | Serviced | Backlog | % Served |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------|-----|---------|----------------|--------|-------|----------|---------|----------|
| Frances Baard | Dikgatlong | 192 | - | 403 | 2 845 | 37 | - | 16 | 1 950 | 182 | 3 477 | 2 148 | 61.81 |
| | Magareng | - | - | - | 346 | - | - | - | - | 250 | 346 | 250 | 58.05 |
| | Phokwane | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | 881 | 60 | 931 | 6.05 |
| | Sol Plaatje | 346 | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | 868 | 2 340 | 396 | 3 208 | 10.99 |
| John Taolo Gaetsewe | Ga Segonyana | - | - | - | 1 446 | - | - | - | - | 2 210 | 1 446 | 2 210 | 39.55 |
| | Gamagara | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 362 | - | 362 | 0.00 |
| | Joe Morolong | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 101 | - | 1 101 | 0.00 |
| Namakwa | Hantam | - | 10 | - | - | 30 | - | - | - | 47 | 40 | 47 | 45.98 |
| | Kamiesberg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 9 | 0.00 |
| | Karoo Hoogland | - | - | - | 89 | - | - | - | - | - | 89 | - | 100.00 |
| | Khai-Ma | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | 100.00 |
| | Nama Khoi | 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 125 | 43 | 125 | 25.60 |
| | Richtersveld | - | - | - | 15 | - | 25 | - | - | - | 15 | 25 | 37.50 |
| Pixley ka Seme | Emthanjeni | - | 17 | - | - | 24 | - | - | 500 | - | 41 | 500 | 7.58 |
| | Kareeberg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 196 | - | - | 196 | 0.00 |
| | Renosterberg | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 191 | - | - | 191 | 0.00 |
| | SiyaThemba | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 79 | - | - | 79 | 0.00 |
| | Siyancuma | - | 2 | 14 | 9 | - | - | 29 | 1 260 | - | 25 | 1 289 | 1.90 |
| | Thembelihle | - | - | - | 286 | 100 | - | - | - | 454 | 386 | 454 | 45.95 |
| | Ubuntu | 261 | - | 46 | - | 23 | - | - | 120 | - | 330 | 120 | 73.33 |
| | Umsobomvu | - | - | - | 170 | - | - | - | 200 | - | 170 | 200 | 45.95 |
| ZF Mgcaw u | !Kheis | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 70 | - | 70 | 0.00 |
| | Dawid Kruiper | 2 | - | - | 79 | 46 | - | - | 400 | 2 | 127 | 402 | 24.01 |
| | Kai !Garib | 158 | - | - | - | 68 | 4 | 92 | 117 | 104 | 226 | 317 | 41.62 |
| | Tsantsabane | - | 220 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 220 | - | 100.00 |
| Total | | 1 062 | 249 | 513 | 5 285 | 368 | 29 | 187 | 5 881 | 8 137 | 7 477 | 14 234 | 34.44 |

Table 8: Projects aligned with the March 2021 Economic Recovery and Construction Plan and implemented towards reaching SDG6.1 and SDG6.2 goals.

| Project name / | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | neeaea | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Construction of Catersridge sewer pumpstation | DWS | Sol Plaatje - Kimberley | 20 | Long term | Funded | 42 501 213 | Future demands | Construction of a new outfall sewer line to fast track housing development | Construction |
| Construction of toilet top structures in Kimberley | DWS | Sol Plaatje - Kimberley | 40 | Long term | Unfunded | 35 433 407 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of existing toilets | Procurement |
| Upgrading of Warrenton WTW | DWS | Magareng - Warrenton | 15 | Long term | Funded | 91 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works to meet the future water demand | Construction |
| Windsorton-Holpan bulk water supply | DWS | Dikgatlong - Windsorton | 15 | Long term | Funded | 45 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works to meet the future water demand | Planning |
| Upgrading of Calvinia WTW | DWS | Hantam - Calvinia | 30 | Medium term | Funded | 30 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works to meet the future water demand | Completed |
| Replacement of Asbestos Cement pipes | DWS | Hantam - Calvinia | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 17 000 000 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Replacement of old reticulation to address water losses | Planning |

| Project name / | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | neeaea | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Calvinia bulk sewer network | DWS | Hantam - Calvina | 15 | Long term | Funded | 25 000 000 | High operating cost | Eradication of conservancy tanks | Procurement |
| Upgrading Nababeep WWTW | DWS | Nama Khoi - Nababeep | 60 | Long term | Funded | 35 980 737 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works and the installation of bulk sewer pump stations | Construction |
| Upgrading Carolusberg WWTW | DWS | Nama Khoi - Carolusberg | 15 | Long term | Unfunded | 11 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Procurement |
| Namakwa BWS | DWS | Nama Khoi | 70 | Long term | Funded | R 1 451 431 169,00 | Future demands | Upgrading of bulk water supply | Construction |
| Upgrading of Upington WWTW | DWS | Dawid Kruiper - Upington | 20 | Long term | Funded | 220 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Construction |
| Van Wyksvlei bulk water supply | DWS | Kareeberg – Van Wyksvlei | 30 | Long term | Funded | 99 676 142 | Future demands | Construction of a new pipeline from Carnarvon to Van Wyksvlei to meet future demands | Construction |

| Project name / | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deliv | very | | | | | | | |
| Replacement of VIP to waterborne in Colesberg | DWS | Umsobomvu - Colesberg | 30 | Medium term | Funded | 39 321 061 | Health and hygiene | Eradication of dry sanitation. | Construction |
| Umsobomvu WCDM | DWS | Umsobomvu | 10 | Medium term | Unfunded | 6 000 000 | Non-revenue | Installation of meters and replacement of asbestos cement pipes to address water losses | Planning |
| Refurbishment / upgrading of Noupoort WWTW | DWS | Umsobomvu - Noupoort | 15 | Long term | Funded | 15 000 000 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of existing waste water treatment works to address possible pollution | Planning |
| Upgrading of Prieska WWTW | DWS | Siyathemba - Prieska | 20 | Long term | Funded | 33 692 584 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Completed |
| Upgrading Prieska WTW | DWS | Siyathemba - Prieska | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 10 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Planning |
| Upgrading of Bongani outfall sewer line | DWS | Siyancuma - Douglas | 30 | Medium term | Funded | 19 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of outfall sewer line to address housing needs | Construction |

| Project name / Intervention | Implementing department | Location | Number of job opportunities | Timeframe | | Budget Challenges | Intervention needed | PROGRESS | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| | | | | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Bucklands BWS | DWS | Siyancuma - Bucklands | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 8 000 000 | Water backlogs | Construction of a package plant | Planning |
| Petrusville bulk sewer network | DWS | Renosterberg – Petrusville | 20 | Medium term | Unfunded | 20 000 000 | High operating cost | Eradication of conservancy tanks | Planning |
| Upgrading and refurbishment of various infrastructure in Petrusville, Vanderkloof and Philipstown | DWS | Renosterberg – Petrusville | 15 | Short term | Funded | 5963711 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Upgrading of existing infrastructure | Planning |
| Vaal Gamagara BWS phase 1 | DWS | Gamagara | 79 | Long term | Funded | 1 470 000 000 | Future demands | Replacement / upgrading of 50+ year old pipeline | Construction |
| Vaal Gamagara BWS Phase 2 | DWS | Gamagara | 100 | Long term | Unfunded | 10000000000 | Future demands | Construction of a new waste water treatment works | Planning |
| Construction of water supply augmentation in Kagung and West Derby | DWS | Ga-Segonyana – Kagung, West Derby | 20 | Long term | Funded | 18 597 543 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Extension of Pietbos Water Supply | DWS | Ga-Segonyana - Pietbos | 40 | Medium term | Funded | 12 693 125 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Completed |

| Project name / Impler Intervention depart | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--|------------------|---|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Intervention | uepartment | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Refurbishment of non-functional boreholes and general water infrastructure | DWS | Ga-Segonyana | 15 | Long term | Funded | 16 757 914 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of non-functional boreholes | Completed |
| Maruping and Batlharos bulk water supply Phase 3 | DWS | Ga-Segonyana – Maruping, Batlharos | 74 | Medium term | Funded | 27 915 275 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Completed |
| Magojaneng and Tswelelopele water supply | DWS | Ga- Segonayana - Magojaneng | 20 | Medium term | Funded | 10 540 326 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Planning |
| Mokalamosane water supply | DWS | Ga-Segonyane - Mokalamosane | 20 | Medium term | Funded | 9 044 566 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Planning |
| Promise land bulk water supply | DWS | Ga- Segonayana – Promise land | 20 | Long term | Unfunded | 20 361 811 | Future demand | Upgrading of bulk water | Planning |
| Upgrading of internal water supply in Kuruman and Wrenchville | DWS | Ga-Segonyana – Kuruman, Wrenchville | 100 | Long term | Funded | 141 585 552 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Installation of meters and replacement of asbestos cement pipes to address water losses | Construction |

| Project name / | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges Intervention needed | PROGRESS | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Rural Refurbishment | DWS | Joe Morolong | 25 | Long term | Funded | 45 043 565 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of non-functional boreholes | Construction |
| Mmamebe Water Supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Mmamebe | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 25 858 406 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Majankeng Water Supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Majankeng | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 7 982 002 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Molatswaneng Water Supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Molatswaneng | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 12 498 522 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Mentu Water Supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Mentu | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 7 944 439 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Gamakgatle water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Gamakgatle | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 10 673 005 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Gamationg water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Gamatlong | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 8 807 440 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |

| Project name / Im Intervention de | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | neeaea | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | · | | |
| Gasehunelo wyk 4 | DWS | Joe Morolong – Gasehunelo wyk 4 | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 4 795 314 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Construction |
| Dithakong water supply phase 5 | DWS | Joe Morolong - Dithakong | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 7 150 413 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Planning |
| Heiningsvlei - Gamokwane water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Heiningsvlei | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 8 631 962 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Planning |
| Gatshekedi water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Gatshekedi | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 9 199 100 | Water backlogs | Household water connection | Planning |
| Resealing of reservoir in Olifantshoek | DWS | Gamagara - Olifantshoek | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 11 297 461 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of old infrastructure | Construction |
| 1. Groudwater supply in Olifantshoek: Construction of bulk link line from 6 boreholes to 7ML reservoir : Phase 1 | DWS | Gamagara - Olifantshoek | 15 | Medium term | Funded | | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of old infrastructure | Construction |
| Replacement of asbestos Cement pipes in Kathu | DWS | Gamagara - Kathu | 40 | Medium term | Unfunded | 6 425 926 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of asbestos cement pipes | Planning |

| Project name / Im Intervention de | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget Challenges | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and delive | very | | | | | | | |
| Khubus water supply | DWS | Richtersveld - Khubus | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 16 900 993 | Future demand | Upgrading of water infrastructure to meet future demands | Construction |
| Refurbishment of MILE 8 boreholes | DWS | Richtersveld – Port Nolloth | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 3 595 189 | Future demand | Source development | Completed |
| Lekkersing water supply | DWS | Richtersveld - Lekkersing | 5 | Medium term | Funded | 887 558 | Future demand | Source development | Completed |
| Lekkersing water supply - phase 2 | DWS | Richtersveld - Lekkersing | 30 | Short term | Funded | 921 358 | Drought Relief | Source development | Construction |
| Eksteensfontein water supply | DWS | Richtersveld - Eksteensfontein | 5 | Medium term | Funded | 685 666 | Future demand | Source development | Completed |
| Garies sewer reticulation | DWS | Kamiesberg - Garies | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 2 263 890 | Sanitation backlogs | Sanitation backlogs | Construction |
| Tweerivier water supply | DWS | Kamiesberg Tweerivier | 20 | Medium term | Funded | 3 048 440 | Drought Relief | Source development | Construction |
| Kamieskroon sewer reticulation | DWS | Kamiesberg - Kamieskroon | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 1 353 620 | Sanitation backlogs | Household sewer connections | Construction |

| Project name / | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Hondeklip Bay water supply | DWS | Kamiesberg - Hondeklip bay | 10 | Short term | Funded | 11 808 066 | Future demand | Source development | Planning |
| Nourivier water supply | DWS | Kamiesberg - Nourivier | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 3 800 000 | Drought Relief | Source development | Construction |
| Calvinia BWS | DWS | Hantam - Calvinia | 50 | Long term | Unfunded | 183 000 000 | Drought Relief | Upgrading of Calvinia bulk water supply for future demands | Construction |
| Northern wellfield groundwater development | DWS | Hantam - Calvinia | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 10 000 000 | Drought Relief | Source development | Construction |
| Construction of a Waterborne Sewage System for Calvinia | DWS | Hantam - Calvinia | 15 | Long term | Funded | 2500000 | High O&M cost | Replace conservancy tanks with sewer reticulation | Procurement |
| Williston outfall sewer | DWS | Karoo Hoogland - Williston | 15 | Long term | Funded | 9 301 887 | Connecting households to sewer network | Household sewer connection | Planning |
| Suthlerland outfall sewer | DWS | Karoo Hoogland - Suthlerland | 20 | Long term | Unfunded | 16 457 154 | Connecting households to sewer network | Household sewer connection | Planning |
| Fraserburg outfall sewer | DWS | Karoo Hoogland - Fraserburg | 15 | Long term | Unfunded | 8 408 719 | Connecting households to sewer network | Household sewer connection | Planning |

| Project name / I Intervention | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Suthlerland emergency bulk water supply | DWS | Karoo Hoogland - Suthlerland | 10 | Short term | Funded | 10 001 136 | Drought Relief | Source Development | Construction |
| Pella internal bulk sewer | DWS | Khai-Ma - Pella | 15 | Long term | Funded | 38 000 000 | Non- compliance, pollution | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works | Planning |
| Upgrading of Rietfontein oxidation ponds | DWS | Dawid Kruiper - Rietfontein | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 15 000 000 | Non- compliance, pollution | Upgrading of existing waste water treatment works | Construction |
| Installation of water network in informal areas | DWS | Dawid Kruiper - Upington | 20 | Medium term | Funded | 15 000 000 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Construction of new Kakamas WWTW | DWS | Kai!Garib - Kakamas | 25 | Long term | Unfunded | 65 000 000 | Non- compliance, pollution | Construction of a new waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Planning |
| Emergency repairs to Kakamas WTW | DWS | Kai!Garib - Kakamas | 10 | Short term | Funded | 6 361 179 | Future demands | Repairs and refurbishment of equipment at the water treatment works | Planning |
| Upgrading of Topline WTW | DWS | !Kheis - Topline | 15 | Medium term | Unfunded | 5 334 629 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works | Planning |

| Project name / Im Intervention de | Implementing | Location | Number of job | b Timeframe Bu | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | • | · | | · | · | | |
| Upgrading of Brandboom storage | DWS | !Kheis - Brandboom | 10 | Short term | Funded | 5 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of storage | Construction |
| Port Nolloth BWS | DWS | Richtersveld – Port Nolloth | 20 | Long term | Unfunded | 11000000 | Future demands | Construction of a new bulk water pipeline from the Orange river | Planning |
| Postmasburg bulk metering | DWS | Tsantsabane - Postmasburg | 10 | Medium term | Unfunded | 5 589 621 | Non-revenue | Installation of bulk meters to improve water conservation and demand management | Planning |
| Postmasburg WWTW | DWS | Tsantsabane - Postmasburg | 30 | Long term | Unfunded | 369 198 732 | Future demands | Construction of a new waste water treatment works to meet future demands | Planning |
| Refurbishment of Danielskuil sewer pumpstations | DWS | Kgatelopele - Daniëlskuil | 10 | Medium term | Funded | 13000000 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Refurbishment of existing old sewer pumpstations | Completed |
| Eradication of Conservancy tanks and connection to municipal sewer network | DWS | Kgatelopele - Daniëlskuil | 22 | Long term | Funded | 40 282 076 | High operation costs | Eradication of conservancy tanks | Construction |
| Postmasburg BWS | DWS | Tsantsabane - Postmasburg | 30 | Long term | Unfunded | 200 000 000 | Future demands | Source development and upgrading of storage capacity | Planning |

| Project name / Intervention | Implementing department | Location | Number of job opportunities | Timeframe (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | Budget (Funded, Budget required) | Challenges | Intervention needed | PROGRESS |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Carnarvon AC pipe replacement | DWS | Kareeberg - Carnarvon | 25 | Medium term | Funded | 16 449 592 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Replacement of asbestos cement pipes to address water losses | Construction |
| Loxton AC pipe replacement | DWS | Ubuntu – Loxton | 20 | Medium term | Funded | 10 000 000 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Replacement of asbestos cement pipes | Completed |
| Victoria west bulk sewer network | DWS | Ubuntu – Victoria West | 25 | Medium term | Unfunded | 20 000 000 | High operation costs | Eradication of conservancy tanks | Planning |
| Victoria west WCDM | DWS | Ubuntu – Victoria West | 10 | Short term | Funded | 4 000 000 | Lack of revenue | Installation of smart meters, telemetry system | Planning |
| Easiflush toilets and AC Pipe replacement | DWS | Thembelihle | 15 | Long term | Funded | 27 506 063 | Sanitation backlogs | Construction of low flush toilets and replacement of asbestos cement pipes | Construction |
| Campbell BWS | DWS | Siyancuma – Campbell | 15 | Long term | Unfunded | 16 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of bulk water | Planning |
| Douglas BWS | DWS | Siyancuma – Douglas | 15 | Long term | Unfunded | 77 603 758 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works and storage capacity | Planning |
| De Aar BWS | DWS | Emthanjeni – De Aar | 15 | Long term | Funded | 33 658 639 | Future demands | Upgrading of bulk water | Procurement |

| Project name / Ir Intervention d | Implementing | Location | Number of job | f job Timeframe Bu | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Emergency Repairs to sewer pumpstations and Homevale WWTW in Kimberley | DWS | Sol Plaatje – Kimberley | 10 | Long term | Unfunded | 18 500 000 | Emergency repairs | Repairs and refurbishment of equipment at the waste water treatment works | Planning |
| Jan Kempdorp WTW | DWS | Phokwane – Jan Kempdorp | 15 | Long term | Unfunded | 25 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing water treatment works and storage capacity | Planning |
| Pampierstad internal sewer | DWS | Phokwane – Pampierstad | 15 | Long term | Funded | 26 987 015 | Future demands | Upgrading of existing sewer pumpstations and outfall sewer line | Construction |
| Emergency repairs to the WWTW and surrounding pumpstations | DWS | Magareng | 10 | Short term | Funded | 5 000 000 | Emergency repairs | Pollution | Planning |
| Dikgatlong VIP toilets | DWS | Dikgatlong | 20 | Long term | Funded | 60 003 480 | Sanitation backlogs | Construction of dry sanitation toilets | Construction |
| Bulk water supply augmentation in Dikgatlong | DWS | Dikgatlong | 26 | Long term | Funded | 124 382 298 | Future demands | Upgrading of bulk water supply | Planning |

| Project name / Intervention | Implementing | Location | Number of job | b Timeframe E | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | neeaea | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | · | · | | |
| Groundwater supply in Olifantshoek: Construction of bulk water link line from 6 boreholes to 7ML reservoir : Phase 2 | DWS | Gamagara - Olifantshoek | 21 | Medium term | Funded | 8 127 610 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Replacement of old asbestos cement pipeline | Construction |
| Kathu BWS | DWS | Gamagara - Kathu | 20 | Long term | Unfunded | 500 000 000 | Future demands | Upgrading of bulk water supply | Planning |
| Klipfontein Evaporation ponds | DWS | Kamiesberg - Klipfontein | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 4 191 244 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Repair and refurbishment of existing evaporation ponds | Construction |
| Kheis Evaporation ponds | DWS | Kamiesberg - Kheis | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 1 384 358 | Replacement of old infrastructure | Repair and refurbishment of existing evaporation ponds | Construction |
| Dikhing water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Dikhing | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 10 849 121 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Bojelapotsane water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Bojelapotsane | 15 | Short term | Unfunded | 7 043 192 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |

| Project name / In Intervention de | Implementing | Location | Number of job | Timeframe | | Budget | Challenges | Intervention | PROGRESS |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------|
| Intervention | department | | opportunities | (Short term – 6 months, Medium term – 12 - 18 months, Long term – 18 months +) | Funded / unfunded | (Funded, Budget required) | | needed | |
| 1. Infrastructure inv | estment and deli | very | | | | | | | |
| Bush Buck water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Bush Buck | 15 | Short term | Unfunded | 11 772 219 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Metsimantsi Wyk 3, 4, & 6 | DWS | Joe Morolong - Metsimantsi | 15 | Short term | Unfunded | 26 848 423 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Heiso water supply | DWS | Joe Morolong - Heiso | 15 | Medium term | Funded | 10 173 155 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Geelboom water supply | DWS | Ga-Segonyana - Geelboom | 15 | Short term | Unfunded | 11 284 474 | Water backlogs | Installation of water reticulation | Planning |
| Kuruman bulk sewer | DWS | Ga-Segonyana - Kuruman | 100 | Long term | Unfunded | 850 000 000 | Future demands | Construction of a new wastewater treatment works | Planning |

Bucket Eradication Programme

The programme was introduced to eradicate existing buckets ablutions. Nine local municipalities were identified with a total number of 8161 buckets ablutions. To date 8 municipalities have benefited from the programme and 7565 bucket ablutions have been eradicated. The remaining 596 ablutions form part of the ongoing Campbell BEP. The contractor's appointment was approved by the bid adjudication committee in December 2021. HDA is to issue an appointment letter and contract. Estimated project amount: R 47 384 852.00. After completing the eradication of 8161 buckets, there are still an approximately 8720 (formal and informal) buckets remaining.

| District | Municipality | Formal | Informal |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| Pixley ka Seme | Siyancuma | Siyancuma 333 | |
| Pixley ka Seme | Renosterberg | 0 | 191 |
| ZF Mgcawu | Dawid Kruiper | 4470 | 0 |
| Namakwa | Nama Khoi | 167 | 0 |
| Frances Baard | Sol Plaatje | 0 | 2431 |
| Total | | 4970 | 3750 |

The remaining buckets can only be eradicated once the bulk infrastructure is in place. Projects that are link to the latter is seen below:

| Project | Municipality | Funder | Project cost | Status |
|--|---------------|--------|---------------|--------------|
| Refurbishment / Upgrading of Douglas WTW | Siyancuma | WSIG | R 96 504 027 | Procurement |
| Upgrading of Douglas WWTW | Siyancuma | MIG | R 39 089 258 | Planning |
| Refurbishment / Upgrading of Upington WWTW | Dawid Kruiper | RBIG | R 309 320 702 | Construction |
| Upgrading of Nababeep bulk sewer | Nama Khoi | WSIG | R 40 702 698 | Construction |
| Refurbishment / Upgrading of Carolusberg WWTW | Nama Khoi | WSIG | R11 506 518 | Construction |
|---|-------------|------|--------------------|--------------|
| Uprading of Gogga bulk Sewer Line | Sol Plaatje | WSIG | R 25 000 000 | Completed |
| Kimberley BWS | Sol Plaatje | ТВС | R 3 655 523 837 | Planning |

3.4 SDG 6.3 – WATER QUALITY

The regional office monitors both surface and groundwater quality. Surface water monitoring consist of the River Eco Monitoring of three (3) rivers, the Chemical and Microbiological Monitoring programme of twenty-three points (23) in the Lower Orange and Lower Vaal Water Management Areas, and the Waste Discharge Monitoring of twenty-five (25) points. The Groundwater Quality Monitoring Programme is performed on sixty (60) points.

Table 9: Water Quality Monitoring in the Northern Cape.

| Monitoring Classification | Number of monitoring points targeted for 2021/22 | Number of points visited in 2021/22 | Challer | nges | Solutio | ons |
|---|---|---|----------|--|---------|--|
| Number of Waste Discharge Points Monitored to assess water resource quality | Q1: 3 Q2: 1 LO 15 LV | Q1: 3 Q2: 1 LO 13 LV | 1. 2. | Expiration of Lab Contract. In Homevale, Barkley West and Lichtenberg there was no discharge as the wastewater treatment works were not functioning. | 1. | Quotes to be sourced. ToR compiled and Log- 1's with SCM. Matter referred to regulation. |

| Groundwater Quality Monitoring | Q1: 55 Q2: 0 Q3: 60 Q4: 0 | Q1: 0 Q2: 32 Q3: 0 | New contract for ZQMs not yet in place as old contract expired on 02 September 2021 | Monitoring to be done in beginning of Q4. Monitoring on track and target to be reached. |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| River Ecostatus Monitoring Programme (REMP)/ Biomonitoring | LO:1 River (15 Monitoring points), LV: 2 Rivers/ 11 points | Orange: 1 River/ 15 points conducted Vaal: 2 Rivers /11 points | - | - |
| Number of sampling points monitored to assess surface water resource quality | Q1 LO 1 River (12 Monitoring points), LV 2 Rivers /16 points Q2 | Q1: Lower Orange (12 points) | No personnel to conduct sampling in LV in Q1 | Appointments completed |
| | 1 River (18 Monitoring points) and 16 Point for Lower Vaal | Q2: 34 Non- cumulative for LO and LV | | |

3.4.1 MUNICIPAL WATER QUALITY STATUS

We had nice rains last year and beginning of this year, which was good thing and curse for us. Due to runoff collection, most of our old plants could not purify the water to SANS 241 standard. We also saw big improvement of our underground borehole systems water quality and quantity. Most of our challenges are due to:

- 1- Old infrastructure that couldn't cope with the demand and drastic change in surface water quality
- 2- Poor planning from our WSA

- 3- Lack of qualified personnel to operate and to maintain the infrastructure
- 4- Demand versus supply
- 5- Poor prioritisation when coming to infrastructure needed
- 6- Lack of Monitoring and Evaluation of Project Implementation
- 7- Lack of capacity from DWS to regulate compliance and to enforce
- 8- Lack of direction and recycling of priority list
- 9- Lack of O&M budget from the WSA
- 10- Lack of supervision at our plants
- 11- Lack of funding for new infrastructure
- 12- Lack of By-Laws and fear of enforcing By-Laws
- 13- Lack of cost reflective tariffs
- 14- Dependency on grants by our WSA

Water Treatment works

The priority list of water supply systems that have challenges was shared in the previous Biannual Progress Report in July 2021. Blue Drop Systems completed with Performance Assessment Tool in September October 2021. All systems were assessed and will be reported by March 2022 (see table below).

| Institution | Systems | Supply System Demographic | wtw | Maintenance Teams | Water Quality compliance | Capital Projects | Report BDRR | BDRR | Completed | % | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|-----------|--------|--|
| !Kai! Garib Local Municipality | 15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 100.00 | |
| !Kheis Local Municipality | 7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 7/7 | 100.00 | |
| Dawid Kruiper | 17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 100.00 | |
| Dikgatlong Local Municipality | 17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 100.00 | |
| Emthanjeni Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Gamagara Local Municipality | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 100.00 | |
| Ga-Segonyana Local Municipality | 22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 22/22 | 100.00 | |
| Hantam Local Municipality | 6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 100.00 | |
| Joe Morolong Local Municipality | 24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 24/24 | 100.00 | |
| Kamiesberg Local Municipality | 16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 16/16 | 100.00 | |
| Kareeberg Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Karoo Hoogland Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Kgatelopele Local Municipality | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 100.00 | |
| Khai-Ma Local Municipality | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 100.00 | |
| Magareng Local Municipality | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 100.00 | |
| Nama Khoi Local Municipality | 15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 100.00 | |
| Phokwane Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Renosterberg Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Richtersveld Local Municipality | 5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 100.00 | |
| Siyancuma Local Municipality | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 100.00 | |
| Siyathemba Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Sol Plaatjie Local Municipality | 2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 100.00 | |
| Thembelihle Local Municipality | 2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 2/2 | 100.00 | |
| Tsantsabane Local Municipality | 6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 6/6 | 100.00 | |
| Ubuntu Local Municipality | 5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 5/5 | 100.00 | |
| Umsobomvu Local Municipality | 3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 3/3 | 100.00 | |
| Regional Total | 200 | | | | | | | | 200/200 | 100.00 | |

Wastewater Treatment Works

The situation of wastewater treatment works (WWTW) is considerably worse than the WTWs. A total of 79 WWTWs where assessed through the Green Drop Certification Programme of which only 22 works received a risk rating < 50%. A total of 57 WWTWs received a risk rating > 50%. The department is busy compiling a detail assessment on each wastewater treatment works in the province and the report should be finalised by March 2022.

| Risk Category | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|--|--|
| District | | <50% | 50-<70 | 70-<90% | 90-100% | Number of WWTWs | | |
| Frances Baar | ď | 0 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | | |
| John Taolo G | iaetsewe | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 8 | | |
| Namakwa | | 8 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 20 | | |
| Pixley ka Seme | | 3 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 25 | | |
| ZF Mgcawu | | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 16 | | |
| Grand Total | | 22 | 16 | 18 | 23 | 79 | | |
| | 90 – 100% Critical risk WWTPs | | Ps | | | | | |
| % Deviation = 70 - <90% High | | Risk WWTPs | | | | | | |
| | | n risk WWTF | °s | | | | | |
| | <50% Low Ris | k WWTPs | | | | | | |

Urgent emergency funding is required to upgrade and / or refurbish dysfunctional wastewater treatment works along the Vaal and Orange River.

Vaal River:

| Municipality | WWTW | Project Cost | Shortfall |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Magareng | Warrenton | R 33 587 729 | R 24 230 687 |
| Siyancuma | Siyancuma Douglas R 39 | | R 39 089 258 |
| Dikgatlong | Barkly West | R 6 000 000 | R 6 000 000 |
| Sol Plaatje | Kimberley (Homevale) | berley (Homevale) R 25 800 000 | |

| Phokwane | Pampierstad | R 15 000 000 | R 15 000 000 |
|----------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| Phokwane | Jan Kempdorp | R 30 000 000 | R 30 000 000 |
| | Total | R 149 476 987 | R138 119 945 |

Orange River:

| Municipality | WWTW | Project Cost | Shortfall |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Renosterberg | Vanderkloof | R 1 702 000 | R 0 |
| Thembelihle | Hopetown | R 20 166 588 | R 0 |
| Siyathemba | Prieska | R 33 692 584 | R 0 |
| !Kheis | Boegoeberg | R 10 000 000 | R 10 000 000 |
| Dawid Kruiper | Upington | R 309 320 702 | R 66 135 749 |
| Kai!Garib | Keimoes | R 66 500 000 | R 66 500 000 |
| Kai!Garib | Kakamas | R 94 600 000 | R 94 600 000 |
| | Total | R 535 981 874 | R 237 235 749 |

3.5 SDG 6.4 – WATER USE EFFICIENCY

3.5.1 VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION STATUS

The Northern Cape region is responsible for the Lower Vaal and Lower Orange CMA's (see Map)



Figure 6: Water Management Areas in the Northern Cape.

3.5.2 LOWER VAAL

3.5.2.1 Background

Schoeman en Venote was originally appointed to conduct the V&V in the Lower Vaal WMA. DMT was appointed in 2017 to finalise the remaining 3867 properties. Unfortunately, due to unforeseen circumstances DMT's contract were terminated and left the department no choice but to continue with the V & V project without PSP assistance.

Overall Status

Scope of work: 1 703 water users to be verified and validated by DWS

- Validation letters generated: 1 703 (100%)
- Validation letters responded to by water users: 947
- From 947 responses received, 678 (72%) agreed with the validation results and 269 (28%) did not agree
- Percentage of water users responded to validation letters 56%
- Total number of validation letters not responded to by water users: 756
- Percentage of water users that did not respond to validation letters 44%
- Percentage of water users that did not agree to validation letters 16%

Progress: 40%

Scope of work: 2164 water users to be verified by DWS

- Total number of properties verified: 2164 of which 133 falls within Lower Vaal WMA and 2031 are within the Vaalharts WUA area
- Out of the 2031 of Vaalharts, 100 Section 33 letters need to be reprinted as the quota needs to be changed from 9140 m³/ha/a to 8155 m³/ha/a

Progress to date 95%

3.5.3 LOWER ORANGE

Validation of existing lawful use in water management areas (WMAs) within the catchment

- Validation Status [Section 35(1)]
- Total number of properties Validated: 976
- Number of properties validated: 976 (100%)

Verification of existing lawful use in water management areas (WMAs) within the catchment Lower Orange)

- Verification Status [Section 35(4)]
- Total number of properties to be verified: 976
- Only 48 agreed to verification process. (5%)?
- Total Verification letter need to be re-generated and distributed: 928 (95%)
- Verification Status [Section 33]
- Number of properties verified: 6169 (96%)
- Number of properties to be verified: 163 (4%) Onseepkans (108 completed), Vanwyksvlei Irrigation board

Challenges

- Poor attendance by water users during stakeholder engagements
- Non-collection of V&V letters which results in the increasing number of return to sender mails
- Difficulty in accessing properties for hand delivery of letters due to safety concerns as well as restricted access
- Lawyers and / or consultant applying on behalf of the water user (delay in verification process as they always request extensions
- Lack of fully Equipped section 35 office (shortage of staff)
- Water users not responding to V & V letters

Proposed solutions

- Engage farmers unions and WUAs and other forums to ensure stakeholder participation
- Updated contact details of water users on the WARMS system
- Involvement of CME
- Hiring of officials who will focus mainly on V & V
- To finalise the outstanding 29% of verification for the lower Vaal
- Internal staff members (Lower Vaal) currently be utilised to assist with V&V

3.5.4 HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED INDIVIDUALS (HDIs) - PROGRESS

3.5.4.1 LOWER ORANGE

3.5.4.1.1 BACKGROUND

On the Orange & Fish / Sundays rivers, 12 000 ha irrigation water was allocated. This was 12 000 ha to promote emerging commercial farmer development. In 1998 Honourable Minister Kadar Ashmal allocated 4000 ha (15000 m³/ha) in the Lower Orange or 60 Mm³/annum. Provincial Government championed the process for allocation in support of emerging commercial farmer development. Water rights is used as catalyst for empowerment of HDI in the Agricultural sector. The Orange River Emerging Famers Development Program (OREFDP) was initiated to formulate a strategy for allocation, settlement of emerging commercial farmers and provision of support.

From 2012, water use licenses were issued. To date 47.6 Mm3 was allocated to 18 projects to irrigate 3337 ha along the lower Orange River. The balance of 12.4 Mm3 or 663 ha was earmarked for the Namakwaland area on request of DLRAR. Water allocations are made through the Co-ordinating Committee on Agricultural water (CCAW) between DWS and DLRAR

The Transformation Charter and sector code enterprise score on AgriBEE scorecard require the following targets: Ownership (general ownership 25% + 1% land), Management control (Board > 50% & Executive 40%), Employment equity (senior 60%, middle 75%, junior 80%), Skills development (spend 2% of leviable + 5% learner/internships), preferential procurement (B-BBEE 70% of total), enterprise development (spend 3% net profit after tax), Socio Economic Development (spend 1% net profit after tax). These are the set requirements for CCAW on OREFDP projects, but since the 18 projects were allocated, criticism has been expressed that these projects do not meet WAR.

Currently, WAR in the irrigations sector is reflected by table below. There is a total of 8 662 ha or 117 Mm³ allocated to HDI's. This represents 16% of the available water. To reach the set target of 30% HDI allocation in the irrigation sector, more than 10 000 ha or 172 Mm³/a will have to be allocated by 2030.

| Lower Orange WMA (irrigation) | Area (ha) | Volume (Mm ³ /a) |
|---|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Total Water Availability for irrigation | 63 109 | 818 |
| Commercial white farmers | 54 448 | 700 |
| Allocated/reserved for HDIs | 8 662 | 117 |
| Possible allocation for HDIs (NVD) | 1 000 | 15 |
| % Allocated for HDIs | 16% | 16% |
| Required for HDIs to reach 30% | 9271 | 112 |

The Northern Cape has a target of 4 000 ha on the Orange River to be allocated in the next 3 years.

The follow interventions were proposed to achieve the target.

Short term interventions:

• The NC regional office has a 100 Mm3/annum allocation on the Orange river as discretional releases from the Vanderkloof Dam for downstream use in cases of downstream low flow. This presents a possible 6666 ha irrigation at 15000m3/ha. Although the need for this water has proven to be valuable over the last 7 years the total volume has only been depleted in 2018/19. The depletion was due to poor management and communication.

| Year | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Discretional allocation (Mm ³ /a) | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Balance unused water (Mm ³ /a) | 57,4 | 62,6 | 45,2 | 68,0 | 100,0 | -9.4 | 65,6 |

The region therefore proposes that this discretional release volume be reduced to 40 Mm³/annum and the balance of 60 Mm3/annum (approximately 4000 ha) be made available for additional allocation along the Orange River. The additional 4000 ha should be ring-fenced for HDI allocation through the Directorate Water Resource Planning or ORRS and made available to the Northern Cape Province DWS office for allocation on recommendation from OREFDP and CCAW.

Medium term Interventions:

- Plan and implement WCWDM in the domestic and irrigation water use sectors. Targeted savings of 6 Mm³/annum for the domestic/industrial water use sector (excluding Bloemfontein) and 5% of total water use in the irrigation water use sector need to be achieved not later than 2020; (The total savings on 5% on 63109 ha could be 3155ha.
- The introduction of a mechanism whereby water saved through water use efficiency, especially in agriculture, can be made available to other water users in the system.
- Limit operational losses through real time monitoring of river flows in the Orange and Vaal rivers to maximise the beneficial use of the spillages from the Vaal River.

Long term interventions:

- Utilising a greater portion of Vanderkloof Dam's storage capacity by lowering the minimum operating level in the dam. This measure will require pumping infrastructure which has to be in place by 2022. If a decision is made to implement the Ecological Preferred EWR during this planning horizon, the following actions are also required sooner: (a) The Vanderkloof Dam should be operated at a lowering Minimum Operating Level (MOL). (b) The yield of the system should be increased by approximately 137 million m3/annum or approx. 9000 ha.
- Commission the Vioolsdrift Dam at the decided date for alternative EWR implementation.

3.5.4.2 LOWER VAAL

3.5.4.2.1 BACKGROUND

Equitable access to water through Water Allocation Reform requires a balanced approach between authorization of HDI applications within acceptable water resource limitations, water assurance and water availability. The NWRS (2014) set the target that by 2030, 30% of water allocated should be with HDI. We are currently behind on this target.

Water for Domestic, Industrial, and mining is available, but limited water is available for agricultural irrigation on the Vaal and Harts rivers or catchments.

| Lower Vaal WMA (Irrigation) | Area (ha) | Volume (m ³) |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|
| Total Water Availability | 68 600 | 627 000 000 |
| Commercial HAI water users | 54 578 | 483 417 710 |
| Allocated/reserved for HDIs | 13 594 | 129 064 890 |
| Possible allocation for HDIs Uptake by HDIs Spitskop Dam Feasibility Study (1 200ha) and 360 ha ELU Majeng – Harts River | 1 560 | 14 258 400 |
| % Allocated for HDIs | 20% | 21% |
| HDI allocation needed 2020 to 2030 | 6 986 | 59 035 110 |

Within the above constraints the RWUAAC propose the following decision criteria:

- The water will be allocated to applicants with an 80-100% black ownership, who will then ensure that other targets are met.
- Water allocation be limited to 100 ha or 1 000 000 m3/a per applicant to allow more HDI's to enter; and
- The use of this allocation should be within three (3) years of licence issuance. Failure of which will result in the automatic withdrawal of the authorisation and subsequent re-allocation of the water.

The follow interventions were proposed to achieve the target:

Short term interventions:

- Expediting the finalization of Verification and Validation Process.
- The eradication of the unlawful water use is an essential strategy that has to be implemented in order to rectify the current deficit (negative water balance) in the Vaal River System.
- The Lower Vaal is heavily dependent on Agricultural activities with most mines and industries utilise groundwater for water supply. The re-allocation of water reserved for industrial and mining use for Agricultural water use.

- Implement Water Conservation and Water Demand Management measures to reduce losses and reduce the agriculture irrigation demand by at least 15%. On the Vaal River this can create a saving of 94M m3/a or potential area of 10 290ha.
- Implementation and enforcement of Water Conservation and Water Demand Management targets/benchmarks for Water Use Associations/Government Irrigation Schemes.
- Review all existing authorisations and the immediate withdrawal of allocations that are not utilised.

Medium term interventions:

• The savings of water from Verification and Validation and WC/WDM be calculated for an additional 4 000ha is to be ring fenced on the Vaal River for HDI allocation through IVRS. CME activates will eradicate unlawful water use to contribute to water use regulation and water resource health, but will not contribute to allocable water.

Long term interventions:

• Compulsory licensing for the Agricultural Irrigation sector on the Lower Vaal river

If the require water becomes available after approval and implementation of the above-mentioned interventions, the Northern Cape has identified two potential irrigation areas along the Orange River as seen in the map below.



3.5.5 MUNICIPAL NON-REVENUE WATER

The level of non-revenue water (NRW) and water losses in the Northern Cape is unacceptably high and political and technical interventions will be required to ensure a reduction of water wastage. Although good progress has been made in Emthanjeni and Ubuntu in reducing NRW and water losses, significant funding is still required to maintain the reductions and to achieve further improvements. Without intervention, most of the proposed augmentation projects will feed the leaks and are unlikely to improve water availability. Non-revenue water and water losses are summarized per LM below:



| Municipality | NRW | Total meters of pipeline installed for prepaid metering | Total pre-paid meters installed | Total meters of Asbestos Cement pipes replaced to decrease water loss |
|---------------|-----------|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Joe Morolong | >50% | 192 390 | 826 (200m standpipes) | 0 |
| Ga-Segonyana | 30% – 40% | 120 863 | 368 (200m standpipes) | 14095 |
| Gamagara | 15% - 30% | 4419 | 284 (erf connections) | 0 |
| Kgatelopele | 30% – 40% | 0 | 0 | 5690 |
| Ubuntu | 30% – 40% | 0 | 281 (erf connections) | 7289 |
| Umsobomvu | 40% - 50% | 0 | 0 | 3001 |
| Thembelihle | 40% - 50% | 0 | 0 | 13100 |
| Dawid Kruiper | 30% – 40% | 0 | 28 (200m standpipes) | 0 |
| Hantam | 15% - 30% | 0 | 800 (erf connections) | 0 |
| Total | | 317 672 | 2 587 | 43 175 |

What was done since 2013/14 relating to WCDM:

3.6 SDG 6.5 – INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Water resource monitoring consists of the measurement and monitoring of surface and groundwater resources. Surface water levels and flows are measured in forty-eight (48) flow monitoring stations, eleven (11) dams, fifteen (15) evaporation stations and sixteen (16) current gauging stations.

Table 10: Surface and Groundwater Quantity Monitoring

| MonitoringNumber of monitoringClassificationpoints targeted for 2021/22 | Number of points visited in 2020/22 | Challenges | Solutions |
|---|---|------------|-----------|
|---|---|------------|-----------|

| Groundwater Level Monitoring | Q1: 355 Q2: 355 Q3: 355 | Q1: 297 Q2: 342 Q3: 277 | 8 not done due to bees and gates locked. | 16 Boreholes to be closed due to collapse. |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Surface Water Flow Monitoring – Hydrology Visits to sites (48) monitored for surface water levels and flows | Q1: 48 Q2: 89 Q3: 78 | Q1: 58 Q2: 95 Q3: 72 | | |
| Monitoring of dam levels Visits to 11 dams. | Q1: 12 Q2: 21 visits Q3: 18 | Q1: 11 Q2: 22 Q3: 11 | | |
| Monitoring of evaporation sites | 97 visits to 15 stations Q1: 20 Q2: 26 Q3: 25 | Q1:18 Q2: 22 Q3: 11 | Stations were temporarily closed as discussed on the Technical, Network and CODAQ meeting held on 16/09/2021 | |
| Monitoring of gauging stations | Q1: 4 Q2: 4 Q3: 4 | Q1: 0 Q2: 8 Q3: 6 | | |

3.7 SDG 6.6 – PROTECTING THE ECOSYSTEM

Regulatory action through Best Practise and CME actions

| R | 0000 | O ^{Criminal} case Section 151 (1)(2) | Case against organisation and individuals Penalties of imprisonment (5 years) and or fines |
|---|----------------------|--|--|
| R | 50000 | Execution of works Court interdict Section 53 (1)(2) | Court Interdict Force directive to stop unauthorised use, pollution Require rehabilitation of impacts (facilities and pollution) |
| R | 5000 | Directive Section 53(1) 19(3) | Legal Requirement Direct to address stop unauthorised use, pollution |
| R | 1 <mark>50</mark> 00 | Intention to issue directive Section 53(1) 19(3) | Legal requirements Request action plans to address Authorisation, pollution, |
| R | 5000 | Unauthorised, non compliant Non compliance notice Section 53(1) 19(3), | Non Compliant to Blue/ Green Drop Compliance (Best Practise) Indicate authorisation status, operation and maintenance, Sample and capture of effluent |
| R | 1 50 00 | Authorised water use, Compliant to conditions of use, Green drop and Blue Drop compliant | Green Drop Compliance (Best Practise) Indicate authorisation status, operation and maintenance, Sample and capture of effluent |

3.7.1 Enforcement on WWTW discharge and Pump stations

Table 11: Summary of enforcement actions on WWTW discharge and pump stations.

| Number | Name of WTW | Discharge to and impact on Water Resource | Responsible Official | Volume (MI/d) | Flow (m³/s) | Flow (I/s) | Remarks |
|--------|----------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|---|
| 1 | Vryburg | Dry Harts River | Mathe | 15 | 0,17 | 173,61 | Requested Court Order stop pollution |
| 2 | Homevale | Kamferdam | Van Dyk | 48 | 0,56 | 555,56 | Requested Court Order stop pollution |
| 3 | Barkley West | Vaal River | Damane | 3 | 0,03 | 34,72 | Requested Court Order stop pollution |
| 4 | Lichtenburg | Harts River | Shipalane | 16 | | | Stop pollute Action Plan |
| 5 | Kathu | Re-use Sishen Mine, discharge to stormwater | Van Dyk | 3,6 | 0,04 | 41,67 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 6 | Danielskuil | Discharge to dolomite aquifer | Damane | 0,72 | 0,01 | 8,33 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 7 | Upington | Orange River | Tshivandek | 16 | 0,19 | 185,19 | Monitor Action plan |
| 8 | Vanderkloof | Seepage to river | Maphuma | 0,18 | 0 | 2,08 | Stop pollute Action Plan |
| 9 | Kuruman | Vlei re-used, dolomite aquifer | Van Dyk | 4 | 0,05 | 46,3 | Stop pollute Action Plan |
| 10 | Hartswater | Stormwater canal into Harts River | Jenkins | 2 | 0,02 | 23,15 | Stop pollute Action Plan |
| 11 | Schweizer Reineke | Harts River | Msimango | 9 | 0,1 | 104,17 | Stop pollute Action Plan |
| 12 | Bloemhof | Vaal River | Mathe | 5,6 | 0,06 | 64,81 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 13 | Christiana | Vaal River | Mathe | 3,5 | 0,04 | 40,51 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 14 | Ottosdal | Dry Harts River | Msimango | 3 | 0,03 | 34,72 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 15 | Warrenton | Vaal River | Van Dyk | 2 | 0,02 | 23,15 | Sufficient compliance monitor |

| 16 | Postmasburg | Artificial Wetlands | Van Dyk | 4,8 | 0,06 | 55,56 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
|----|--------------|------------------------------|------------|--------|------|--------|-------------------------------|
| 17 | Kakamas | Orange river | Tshivandek | 2 | 0,02 | 23,15 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 18 | Beaconsfield | Paardeberg's vlei | Baptiste | 8 | 0,09 | 92,59 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 19 | Nababeep | Discharge to stream | Tshivandek | 2 | 0,02 | 23,15 | |
| 20 | Jan Kempdorp | Tributary of the Harts River | Baptiste | 2,7 | 0,03 | 31,25 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 21 | Pampierstad | Harts River | Msimango | 4 | 0,05 | 46,3 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 22 | Phillipstown | Discharge to stream | Maphuma | 0,31 | 0 | 3,59 | Sufficient compliance monitor |
| 23 | OCC Nababeep | Discharge to stream | Cloete | 2 | | | |
| 24 | Garies | Discharge to stream | Cloete | | | | |
| 25 | Kommaggas | Discharge to stream | Cloete | | | | |
| 26 | Okiep | Discharge to stream | Cloete | | | | |
| 27 | Concordia | Discharge to stream | Cloete | | | | |
| | | | | 139,41 | 1,61 | 1613,5 | |

Water Use Licence Authorization

| CATEGORY | Number of Licenses | COMMENTS |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Initial assessment | 53 | Initial assessment |
| Quality check post WUAAAC | 6 | Awaiting final decision |
| Awaiting Civil design review | 4 | Request made to Branch Head to request additional civil engineering capacity |
| Awaiting geohydrology review | 9 | Being reviewed by internal specialists. Within 30 day review period |

| Awaiting Mine water review | 1 | Being reviewed by internal specialists. Within 30 day review period |
|--|-----|---|
| Awaiting In-Stream Water Use review | 3 | Being reviewed by internal specialists. Within 30 day review period |
| Awaiting NWRP comments | 4 | Being reviewed by internal specialists. Within 30 day review period |
| Outstanding information from Applicants | 9 | Applicants given timeframes to respond |
| Final assessment | 20 | Finalising assessment and awaiting information from client |
| Awaiting decision (HO) | 2 | |
| TOTAL | 111 | |

What caused the backlog?

- Lack of acknowledgment of the Mandate of the Departments
- Inadequate capacity (numbers and skills)
- Employee turnover of officials in Northern Cape which lead to and Incomplete documentation from applicants
- Delayed inputs from Head Office/ other Directorates (Geo and Civil and Mine and Industrial Water Quality)
- Inadequate assistance to HDI's.
- Low Staff Morale
- Poor / Lack inter- Directorate Communication/Partnerships
- WULA Managers Legitimacy
- Discipline/Professional

• Lack Batho Pele Consciousness/Orientation / Customer Focus

What is the response?

- Acknowledged and Talk to everyone about the challenges and how we wish to change things
- Awareness Creation on the Mandate/ Imperatives of WULA interconnectedness
- Acknowledge the realities and appreciate the efforts/sacrifices by everyone
- Work on retention of officials
- Communicate/ build relations with Head Office/ other Directorates (Geo and Civil and Mine and Industrial Water Quality) : Results are bearing fruits
- Dedicated assistance to HDI's
- Genuinely deal with the Staff challenges / Problem solving/ Professionalism
- Harmonize inter- Directorate Communication/Partnerships (Dismantle them and us)
- WULA Managers Legitimacy Through system/Professionalism/A
- Consequence Management/ Code of Conduct / just ask everyone to do their job
- Lack Batho Pele Consciousness/Orientation

3.8 SDG 6.A – INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

3.8.1 Construction of the Vioolsdrifts Dam

3.8.1.1 Purpose

1. Replace loss in yield due to Lesotho Highlands Water Project Phase 2 (LHWP-2) (Polihali Dam from 2024) & transfers to Vaal River System.

- 2. Supply projected growth in Orange River System water requirements, especially large-scale Namibian irrigation developments in Lower Orange River.
- 3. Compensate for impact of implementing Reserve on Orange River System yield (planned 2024).
- 4. Provide re-regulation storage on Lower Orange River allow releases to correct seasonal flow distribution in accordance with Reserve & riverine Ecological Water Requirements (EWRs) on Lower Orange River.
- 5. Update the water requirement projections in Orange River System;
- 6. Determine optimum site and size for NVD;
- 7. Finalise purpose and timing of NVD for re-regulation, for larger storage and/or for both in single/phased approach;
- 8. Determine timing and most appropriate development option after NVD;
- 9. Conduct feasibility study on selected NVD site and size to ensure technical, financial, operational and institutional feasibility; and
- 10. Conduct Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to ensure environmental and social feasibility.



Figure 7: Vioolsdrift Dam Proposed Site and Waterways.

3.8.1.2 **PROGRESS**:

The NVD Study, initiated by the Permanent Water Commission (PWC) in 2015 was planned for completion by 2017. In 2016 the consultant recommended that the optimal dam would be of a size of 2,800 million m³ in storage capacity (73 m in height). PWC had no reason to object and accepted the recommendation. By 2017, the study was almost complete. At that time the Preliminary Reserve was determined by RSA's Department of Water and Sanitation, suggesting that the above dam size may be unacceptable from environmental perspective. Based on the latter, DWS proposed and recommended a 35 - 41 m in height damwall, which Namibia objected. Namibia recommended the 73 m damwall. Negotiations between RSA and Namibia is still ongoing.

| Vioolsdrift Dam Possible Sites & Dam Sizes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Noordoewer Vioolsdrift Proposed damwall site | Namibia Menkiies | Option 1 - Backw | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option | Option 1 | Option 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Implementation/commissioning | 2024 | 2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| NVD height to full supply level (FSL) | 73.5 m | 35 m | | | | | | | | | | |
| NVD capacity | 2 800 million m ³ | 300 million m ³ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yield benefit @ 2024 | 666 million m³/a | 297 million m³/a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Implementation date of next scheme (Verbeeldingskraal Dam) | 2045 (21 years after NVD) | 2028* (4 years after NVD) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Unit Reference Value (URV) | R 0.62/m ³ | R 0.54/m ³ | | | | | | | | | | |

3.9 SDG 6.B – COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION

Table 12: The list of IGR structures in the Northern Cape Water Sector.

| PROVINCIAL | DISTRICT | LOCAL | PROGRAMS | FREQUENCY | ACHIVED | CHALLENGES/ RECOMMENDATIONS |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT | ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION | SKILLS DEVELOPMENT | Borehole Pump Operators | QUARTERLY | 0 | Training on going |
| AND TRAINING (DEPT EDUCATION) | FORUM | FORUMS | Vision 2020 | QUARTERLY | 20 school visited | |
| | | | WoL monitoring meetings | QUARTERLY | 0 | No commitment by local municipalities with absorbing the graduated. Presented at Requested interventions from the Premier's |

4 OVERALL PROGRESS TOWARDS REACHING THE 2030 GOALS IN THE PROVINCE

4.1 SDG 6.1 & 6.2 Completed Projects

4.1.1 WSIG projects since 2017/8

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|-----------------------|-------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Richtersv eld | Namak wa | Lekkersing, Eksteensfontein and Kuboes Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R6 015 388 | 2500 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Refurbishment and Upgrading of Leliefontein, Tweerivier and Spoegrivier water supply | 2017/ 18 | R3 995 244 | 8000 | 0 | 6 | 4 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nama Khoi | Namak wa | Augmentation of Rooiwal Bulk supply | 2017/ 18 | R2 907 775 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nama Khoi | Namak wa | Refurbishment of Concordia Reservoir | 2017/ 18 | R47 5 266 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nama Khoi | Namak wa | Water Augmentation to Fonteintjie Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R1 776 180 | 700 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karoo Hooglan d | Namak wa | Williston: Upgrading of bulk water supply | 2017/ 18 | R3 997 980 | 1800 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Khai-Ma | Namak wa | Upgrading of existing reticulation network: Pofadder | 2017/ 18 | R5 131 993 | 6000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Kai!Garib | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of Kakamas Waste Water Treatment works | 2017/ 18 | R7 771 895 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kai!Garib | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of Cillie Reservoir | 2017/ 18 | R1 952 473 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcaw u | Installation of Communal Standpipes (Jurgenskamp, Kalksloot, Leerkrans, Karos) | 2017/ 18 | R1 992 013 | | | | | | 28 | | | | | | |
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcaw u | Augmentation of Noenieput water supply | 2017/ 18 | R5 186 430 | 9100 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcaw u | Augmentation of Welkom Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R88 9 879 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| !Kheis | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of the Groblershoop Water Purification Works | 2017/ 18 | R9 211 314 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tsantsab ane | ZF Mgcaw u | Maremane Bulk Water Supply and Reticulation | 2017/ 18 | R4 372 380 | 200 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kgatelop ele | ZF Mgcaw u | Replacement of AC pipes in Danielskuil | 2017/ 18 | R3 988 141 | 2600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ubuntu | Pixley ka Seme | Victoria West: External Water Supply: Development of Boreholes | 2017/ 18 | R4 736 415 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kareeber g | Pixley ka Seme | Refurbishment of Vosburg Reservoir and AC pipes | 2017/ 18 | R4 363 287 | 3177 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Umsobo mvu | Pixley ka Seme | Colesberg: Replacement of Internal Bulk pipeline | 2017/ 18 | R4 000 000 | 3001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emthanje ni | Pixley ka Seme | Refurbishment of De Aar Boreholes | 2017/ 18 | R5 300 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emthanje ni | Pixley ka Seme | Installation of Sewer Reticulation and Pumpstations for Britstown | 2017/ 18 | R9 984 810 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1036 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Renoster berg | Pixley ka Seme | Upgrading of Vanderkloof Waste Water Treatment Works | 2017/ 18 | R9 950 018 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thembeli hle | Pixley ka Seme | Construction of Eaziflush Toilets in Hopetown and Strydenburg | 2017/ 18 | R4 501 076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 362 |
| Sol Plaatje | France s Baard | Replacement/Refur bishment of Outfall Sewer line | 2017/ 18 | R25 000 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6006 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Calvinia: Upgrading of Bulk Supply | 2017/ 18 | R1 796 388 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Brandvlei: Upgrading of Bulk Supply | 2017/ 18 | R2 393 690 | 2500 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phokwan e | France s Baard | Magogong Station Bulk Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R5 531 028 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Phokwan e | France s Baard | Refurbishment of Jan Kempdorp Sewage Pumping Stations | 2017/ 18 | R2 273 474 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Phokwan e | France s Baard | Refurbishment of Jan Kempdorp Waste Water Treatment Works | 2017/ 18 | R19 353 836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Richtersv eld | Namak wa | Port Nolloth Water Supply | 2018/ 19 | R5 000 000 | 2050 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nama Khoi | Namak wa | Emergency Refurb – Nababeep WWTW | 2018/ 19 | R5 000 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Hondeklip Bay Water Supply | 2018/ 19 | R4 224 195 | 7500 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Refurb of Kheis and Klipfontein Desalination Plants | 2018/ 19 | R1 564 545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Kharkams Borehole Development | 2018/ 19 | R3 797 952 | 1200 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Groundwater Exploration in Calvinia and Brandvlei | 2018/ 19 | R7 500 000 | 2500 | 0 | 0 | 56 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karoo Hooglan d | Namak wa | Williston: Upgrade of bulk water supply phase 2 | 2018/ 19 | R4 467 952 | 4450 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Karoo Hooglan d | Namak wa | Fraserburg: Upgrading of Bulk Water Rising Main From Borehole 1, Borehole 2 and Borehole 3 | 2018/ 19 | R4 500 000 | 4100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Khai-Ma | Namak wa | Refurb of Sewer PS in Pofadder | 2018/ 19 | R2 500 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Khai-Ma | Namak wa | Onseepkans Water supply | 2018/ 19 | R2 500 000 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phokwan e | France s Baard | Refurbishment / Upgrade of Hartswater WWTW | 2018/ 19 | R53 025 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Dikgatlon g | France s Baard | Refurbishment of Barkly west Sewer Pumpstations | 2018/ 19 | R3 914 603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Dikgatlon g | France s Baard | Upgrading of water supply system to bufferzone area | 2018/ 19 | R3 956 177 | 1900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Magaren g | France s Baard | Magareng Water Supply Management | 2018/ 19 | R5 000 000 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kai!Garib | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurb of sewer pumpstations and clear water reservoirs Kakamas | 2018/ 19 | R4 969 752 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1560 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcaw u | Construction of Louisvale Sewer PS (new) | 2018/ 19 | R9 869 607 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Kgatelop ele | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of Sewer PS in Danielskuil | 2018/ 19 | R13 051 506 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kgatelop ele | ZF Mgcaw u | Replacement of AC Pipes in Danielskuil | 2018/ 19 | R5 176 556 | 3090 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Siyancu ma | Pixley ka Seme | Breipal Sewer Pump station | 2018/ 19 | R7 000 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| Siyathem ba | Pixley ka Seme | Refurbishment / upgrade of Prieska WWTW | 2018/ 19 | R33 692 584 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Thembeli hle | Pixley ka Seme | Construction of storage reservoir, low flush toilets and AC pipes replacement | 2018/ 19 | R6 202 323 | 1340 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 |
| Emthanje ni | Pixley ka Seme | Installation of Sewer Reticulation and Pumpstations for Britstown Phase 2 | 2018/ 19 | R9 377 655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3273 | 0 | 0 | 159 |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Renoster berg | Pixley ka Seme | Replacement of Philipstown Outfall Sewer | 2018/ 19 | R5 424 952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 904 | 0 | | 0 |
| Kareeber g | Pixley ka Seme | Carnarvon Sewer Connection | 2018/ 19 | R1 058 935 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kareeber g | Pixley ka Seme | Refurbishment / Replacement of AC pipes Phase2 in Vosburg | 2018/ 19 | R3 493 804 | 3696 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ubuntu | Pixley ka Seme | Victoria West Water Infrastruction refurbishment | 2018/ 19 | R4 000 000 | 3000 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Umsobo mvu | Pixley ka Seme | Upgrading of Khayelitsha Sewer Network - Phase 1 | 2018/ 19 | R10 000 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | 196 |
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of Louisvale Sewer PS | 2019/ 20 | R10 119 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Seven Miles Water Supply Phase 2 | 2017/ 18 | R22 168 379 | 1410 0 | | 1 | 3 | | 58 | | | | | | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Mokala-Mosesane Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R10 897 272 | 1170 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 25 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Dithakong Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R15 767 840 | 7500 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Loopeng / Slough Water Supply Project | 2017/ 18 | R9 457 255 | 1170 8 | | 0 | 0 | | 98 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Gasese Water Supply | 2017/ 18 | R13 589 014 | 1160 0 | | 1 | 2 | | 59 | | | | | 0 | |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Deben reticulation | 2017/ 18 | R14 357 000 | 1075 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Augmentation of Deben Bulk water | 2017/ 18 | R5 643 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Lotlhakajaneng Water Supply | 2018/ 19 | R12 787 787 | 1000 0 | | 1 | 1 | | 34 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Deurward Water Supply | 2018/ 19 | R10 699 361 | 4400 | | 0 | 1 | | 45 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Dithakong Phase 4 | 2018/ 19 | R11 000 000 | 5300 | | 0 | 3 | | 45 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | General Refurbishment | 2018/ 19 | R12 500 000 | 0 | | 10 | 11 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Refurbishment of Kuruman WWTW | 2018/ 19 | R24 204 898 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Refurbishment of Mothibistad WWTW | 2018/ 19 | R11 004 017 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 1 | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Batlharos Water Source Development | 2018/ 19 | R13 255 855 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo | Olifantshoek - Development of | 2018/ 19 | R11 387 743 | 7730 | | | | | 13 | | | | | | |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | Gaets ewe | 1300 stands - water supply | | | | | | | | | | , í | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Olifantshoek - Groundwater Exploration | 2018/ 19 | R6 919 800 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Mentu Water supply | 2019/ 20 | R7 998 978 | 2360 | | 1 | 2 | | 12 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Kokfontein water supply phase 2 | 2019/ 20 | R13 384 616 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Mmamebe water supply phase 2 | 2019/ 20 | R25 856 406 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Majanking water supply | 2019/ 20 | R7 982 003 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Molatswaneng water supply | 2019/ 20 | R7 498 523 | 2945 | | 1 | 1 | | 6 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Rusfontein Wyk 8 Refurb | 2019/ 20 | R2 040 832 | 0 | | 1 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Penryn Refurb | 2019/ 20 | R1 500 000 | 0 | | 4 | 1 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Cassel Refurb | 2019/ 20 | R1 900 003 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Klipham Refurb | 2019/ 20 | R1 497 558 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Joe Morolong | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Majemantso Refurb | 2019/ 20 | R1 384 765 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Upgrading of Kuruman and Wrenchville internal water supply | 2019/ 20 | R17 859 072 | 1409 5 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Kagung water supply | 2019/ 20 | R18 760 679 | 8400 | | 1 | 1 | | 31 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Magojaneng Block D water suppy | 2019/ 20 | R20 773 236 | 1160 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 46 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Mapoteng source development | 2019/ 20 | R12 388 822 | 1000 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Maruping / Batlharos BWS phase 3 | 2019/ 20 | R27 915 275 | 1250 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 50 | | | | | 0 | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Piet Bos Water Supply | 2019/ 20 | R12 692 989 | 7400 | | 1 | 2 | | 0 | | | | | 0 | |
| Richtersv eld | Namak wa | Port Nolloth MILE 8 - Boreholes | 2020/ 21 | R3 595 190 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Richtersv eld | Namak wa | Lekkersing water | 2020/ 21 | R88 7 559 | 1300 | | | 3 | | | | | | | | |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Richtersv eld | Namak wa | Eksteenfontein water supply | 2020/ 21 | R68 5 667 | 1000 | | | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Olifantshoek Reservoir Repair and AC Pipe Replacement | 2019/ 20 | R11 297 461 | 1000 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Kathu / Mapoteng - AC Pipe Replacement | 2019/ 20 | R6 425 927 | 7500 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Equipping of Boreholes in Deben | 2019/ 20 | R10 985 621 | 2020 0 | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Olifantshoek: Construction of bulk link line from 6 boreholes to 7mL reservoir: Phase 1 | 2019/ 20 | R11 072 231 | 4200 | | | 5 | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Groundwater exploration in Olifantshoek: Phase 2 | 2021/ 22 | R3 629 688 | | | | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Gamagar a | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Groundwater supply in Olifantshoek: Construction of water bulk line from 6 boreholes to 7ML reservoir: Phase 2 | 2020/ 21 | R8 127 611 | 3650 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Gamopedi water supply | 2020/ 21 | R15 000 000 | 3500 | | 1 | 2 | | 15 | | | | | | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Water Supply Augmentation in Kagung and West Derby | 2020/ 21 | R18 597 542 | 8400 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Water services Operating subsidy - general refurbishment | 2020/ 21 | R16 757 914 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | Refurbishment of Barnard Ave Pumpstation | 2020/ 21 | R4 917 089 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| Ga- Segonya na | John Taolo Gaets ewe | GaSegonyana - Purchasing of water tanker truck | 2020/ 21 | R1 994 299 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Upgrading of Calvinia Water Treatment Works | 2019/ 20 | R31 778 228 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Groundwater supply from Northwest - Calvinia | 2020/ 21 | R10 000 000 | 7800 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Hantam - Installation of prepaid meters and construction of 11kv powerline | 2020/ 21 | R9 000 000 | | | | | 800 | | | | | | | |
| Hantam | Namak wa | Water Supply from the Doring Rivr for Calvinia: Feasibility Study | 2017/ 18 | R50 0 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kai!Garib | ZF Mgcaw u | Kakamas WWTP & WTP feasibility study | 2020/ 21 | R2 000 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Hondeklip Bay Water Supply | 2018/ 19 | R5 089 845 | 8500 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Refurbishment of Kheis and Klipfontein Desalination Plants | 2018/ 19 | R88 1 193 | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |

| WSA | DM | Project Name | Year start ed | Proj ect Cost | Wate r supp ly pipeli ne (m) | Water Pump statio ns | Reserv oirs (nr) | Boreholes (equipped/d rilled/ Refurbished) | Nr of met ers | Nr of standpi pes | Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Waste Water Treatme nt Works constru cted / Refurbis hed (nr) | Sewe r pipeli ne (m) | Sewer Pump statio ns | Nr of toilets installe d (Dry Sanitati on) | Nr of toilets installed (Waterbo rne) |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Kamiesb erg | Namak wa | Tweerivier water reticulation | 2020/ 21 | R3 048 441 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kareeber g | Pixley ka Seme | Bonteheuwel Water Supply in Carnarvon | 2018/ 19 | R1 200 000 | 400 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kgatelop ele | ZF Mgcaw u | Refurbishment of Danielskuil Sewer Pumpstations | 2019/ 20 | R13 051 506 | | | | | | | | | | 10 | | |
| Nama Khoi | Namak wa | NamaKhoi- Purchase of water tanker | 2020/ 21 | R2 078 525 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Siyancu ma | Pixley ka Seme | Upgrading of Bogani outfall sewer | 2019/ 20 | R19 507 786 | | | | | | | | | 3000 | | | |
| Siyathem ba | Pixley ka Seme | Prieska WWTW - additional works | 2020/ 21 | R4 057 683 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ubuntu | Pixley ka Seme | Extensions of sewer and water infrastructure for 40 stands in Richmond | 2019/ 20 | R4 346 368 | | | | | | | | | 300 | | | |
| Ubuntu | Pixley ka Seme | Upgrading of Outfall Sewer Line, Sewage Pumps and Pump Station in Richmond | 2017/ 18 | R86 2 500 | | | | | | | | | 250 | | | |
| Ubuntu | Pixley ka Seme | Upgrading of bulk water supply and replacment of asbestos water pipelines in Loxton | 2020/ 21 | R10 185 320 | 7289 | | | | 281 | | | | | | | |

| WSA | DM | ProjNme | Year Completed | Project Cost |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Dawid Kruiper | ZF Mgcawu | Kalahari East Pipeline phase 1 | 2016/17 | R 155 700 000 |
| Sol Plaatje | Frances Baard | Ritchie BWS | 2018/19 | R 48 230 000 |
| Karoo Hoogland | Namakwa | Williston BWS | 2018/19 | R 27 000 000 |
| Siyathemba | Pixley ka Seme | Marydale BWS | 2018/19 | R 8 831 109 |
| Hantam | Namakwa | Loeriesfontein BWS | 2018/19 | R 114 743 779 |
| Hantam | Namakwa | Brandvlei BWS | 2019/20 | R 136 400 719 |
| Emthanjeni | Pixley ka Seme | Britstown oxidation ponds | 2019/20 | R 24 551 623 |
| | | TOTAL | | R 515 103 246 |

4.1.2 Completed RBIG projects since FY 2016/17

5 COVID 19 IMPACT

The recent Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic was declared a national disaster by the Minister of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs and announced by the President of the Republic of South Africa as a national state of disaster.

The Minister of Human Settlements, Water and Sanitation has since established a National Water and Sanitation COVID-19 Command Centre to facilitate a coordinated joint response to community needs to prevent water cut across all spheres of Government.

The purpose of the National Water and Sanitation Command Centre is to coordinate and facilitate emergency interventions on water and sanitation to ensure access to basic water and sanitation during the COVID-19 pandemic. It promotes efficiencies through centralised bulk procurement of goods and services to benefit from economies of scale. It also serves as a clearing house of all blockages affecting service delivery within and amongst the various spheres of Government in the Sector. This intervention does not in any way take away powers and functions of the Department and Municipalities. It is however complementing service in terms of inter-governmental relation service's delivery model at local level.

Rand Water as the Implementing Agent on behalf of the Department and Convener of the NDCC, through the COVID 19 Funding made available by the Department. To date 884 water tanks have been provided to municipalities throughout the Northern Cape Province.

The Department of Water and Sanitation in the Northern Cape's main focus during the pandemic, and its possible resurgence, is to ensure that an adequate supply of potable water is available to communities during this period.

5.1 MONITORING INDICATORS

The region's response was determined by:

- 1. Alerts and notifications as issued by the Provincial Department of Health¹ and the severity thereof.
- 2. Water services backlogs, i.e. high-density informal areas, and medium-density informal areas.
- 3. Water availability considerations, including:
 - a. Drought Surface and groundwater related,
 - b. Service delivery issues and interruptions and low-density informal areas,
- 4. Water quality considerations, including:
 - a. Contamination of surface water,
 - b. Contamination of groundwater,
 - c. Contamination of potable water,
- 5. Hotspots and high traffic areas.
 - a. Health and hygiene awareness,
 - b. Community education and awareness,
- 6. Availability of infrastructure, including:
 - a. Current infrastructure projects (WSIG and RBIG) in the area.
 - b. Possibilities of connecting emergency water provision interventions to existing water reticulation infrastructure.
- 7. Requests for assistance from Local Municipalities.

5.2 The Northern Cape Backlog Model

Using the regional Water Services Infrastructure Database, the Northern Cape Department of Water and Sanitation performs yearly updates of the levels of service in each municipality.

The process involves:

- 5. Creating a detailed map showing the water and sanitation services rendered for each settlement in the Northern Cape. The total map count reaches approximately 1800.
- 6. The created maps are forwarded to Local Municipalities for inputs and updates.
- 7. The updated maps are returned to the department, where it is processed, and the database is then updated.
- 8. The updated database is then used to produce the regional backlog model.

¹ COVID-19 Resurgence Plan, Department of Health, Northern Cape, 26 October 2020: "A COVID-19 alert is defined as approximately 10% to 20% increase of the average incidence of COVID-19 cases (using a 7-day moving average) within a defined geographic area (e.g. ward, district, province)."

The regional backlog model breaks down the water and sanitation services provided on a per household level in each settlement within the Northern Cape. The model distinguishes between formal and informal stands. Formal stands are areas that have been surveyed by the Surveyor General's office and are thus eligible for municipal services. Informal stands have not been surveyed and are not fully eligible for formal municipal services.

| Water Services | Below RDP | Sanitation Services | Below RDP |
|---|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| House Connection | No | Flush to Treatment | No |
| Yard Connection | No | Conservancy Tank | No |
| Communal Standpipe closer than 200m from household | No | Septic tank | No |
| Communal Standpipe greater than 200m from household | Yes | UDS (Urine drainage system) | No |
| Communal Handpump | Yes | VIP (Ventilated Improved Pit) | No |
| No Water | Yes | Unimproved Pit | Yes |
| | | Bucket System | Yes |
| | | No Service | Yes |

Table 13: Breakdown of the varying service levels encountered throughout the province.

In Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. and

Table 15 breakdowns of the most recent levels of service are given. Of importance in determining the appropriate response to any resurgence are those areas with No Service, Interim Service and Communal Standpipes greater than 200m from households. In some areas the number of communal standpipes within a 200m radius of households should also be considered, as connections in these areas are more prone to vandalism and hence more frequent service interruptions.

From the backlog model there are 6 564 unserved households on informal stands and 11 441 unserved households on formal stands. *Table 14: Water Service Model on Formalized Stands*

| | | Communal | Communal | House | No Service | | Vard | | Households | | |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| District | Municipality | Standnine | S200m | Connection | Service | Unknown | Connection | Backlogs | Serviced | Housebolds | %Serviced |
| | Dikgatlong | 438 | 0 | 7389 | 0 | 2 | 694 | 2 | 8521 | 8523 | 99.98 |
| | Magareng | 0 | 0 | 2058 | 1200 | 27 | 3441 | 1227 | 5499 | 6726 | 81 76 |
| Frances Baard | Phokwane | 2110 | 0 | 11478 | 630 | | 672 | 639 | 14260 | 14899 | 95 71 |
| | Sol Plaatie | 1901 | 0 | 48170 | 0 | 219 | 1165 | 219 | 51236 | 51455 | 99.57 |
| | Ga Segonvana | 12529 | 0 | 6580 | 1286 | 30 | 1942 | 1316 | 21051 | 22367 | 94.12 |
| John Taolo Gaetsew | Gamagara | 1018 | 0 | 14470 | 158 | 1 | 346 | 159 | 15834 | 15993 | 99.01 |
| | Joe Morolona | 17383 | 3357 | 1000 | 1270 | 35 | 325 | 4662 | 18708 | 23370 | 80.05 |
| | Hantam | 0 | 0 | 3289 | 0 | 0 | 950 | 0 | 4239 | 4239 | 100.00 |
| | Kamiesberg | 164 | 0 | 1578 | 75 | 23 | 1064 | 98 | 2806 | 2904 | 96.63 |
| | Karoo Hooglan | 0 | 0 | 1465 | 8 | 0 | 899 | 8 | 2364 | 2372 | 99.66 |
| Namakwa | Khai-Ma | 5 | 0 | 1529 | 33 | 3 | 734 | 36 | 2268 | 2304 | 98.44 |
| | Nama Khoi | 236 | 0 | 8712 | 31 | 195 | 3263 | 226 | 12211 | 12437 | 98.18 |
| | Richtersveld | 2 | 0 | 2688 | 7 | 5 | 578 | 12 | 3268 | 3280 | 99.63 |
| | Emthanjeni | 0 | 0 | 7632 | 1 | 1 | 645 | 2 | 8277 | 8279 | 99.98 |
| | Kareeberg | 0 | 0 | 1737 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 0 | 2135 | 2135 | 100.00 |
| | Renosterberg | 52 | 0 | 2539 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2594 | 2594 | 100.00 |
| Distantia Osma | SiyaThemba | 209 | 0 | 3407 | 2 | 0 | 714 | 2 | 4330 | 4332 | 99.95 |
| Pixley ka Seme | Siyancuma | 257 | 0 | 5572 | 24 | 51 | 358 | 75 | 6187 | 6262 | 98.80 |
| | Thembelihle | 189 | 0 | 2030 | 33 | 4 | 9 | 37 | 2228 | 2265 | 98.37 |
| | Ubuntu | 0 | 0 | 3980 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3982 | 3983 | 99.97 |
| | Umsobomvu | 144 | 0 | 6260 | 1 | 1 | 850 | 2 | 7254 | 7256 | 99.97 |
| | !Kheis | 292 | 0 | 1277 | 0 | 0 | 1209 | 0 | 2778 | 2778 | 100.00 |
| | Dawid Kruiper | 1778 | 0 | 17045 | 2020 | 41 | 2940 | 2061 | 21763 | 23824 | 91.35 |
| ZF Mgcawu | Kai !Garib | 459 | 0 | 4387 | 594 | 60 | 2349 | 654 | 7195 | 7849 | 91.67 |
| | Kgatelopele | 285 | 0 | 3321 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3606 | 3609 | 99.92 |
| | Tsantsabane | 150 | 0 | 7386 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7546 | 7546 | 100.00 |

Table 15: Water Service Model in Informal Areas

| | | | | | No | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | | | | | Service / | | | | | | |
| | | Communal | Communal | House | Interim | | Yard | | Households | | |
| District | Municipality | Standpipe | >200m | Connection | Service | Unknown | Connection | Backlogs | Serviced | Households | %Serviced |
| | Dikgatlong | 575 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 575 | 657 | 87.52 |
| Frances Baard | Phokwane | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1081 | 0 | 0 | 1081 | 0 | 1081 | 0.00 |
| | Sol Plaatje | 1140 | 0 | 0 | 1710 | 0 | 0 | 1710 | 1140 | 2850 | 40.00 |
| | Hantam | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 100.00 |
| | Kamiesberg | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.00 |
| Nomolavo | Karoo Hoogland | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 89 | 100.00 |
| Indillarwa | Khai-Ma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 47 | 100.00 |
| | Nama Khoi | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 125 | 100.00 |
| | Richtersveld | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 100.00 |
| | Ga Segonyana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1600 | 0 | 530 | 1600 | 530 | 2130 | 24.88 |
| John Taolo Gaetsewe | Gamagara | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 100.00 |
| | Joe Morolong | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1101 | 0 | 0 | 1101 | 0 | 1101 | 0.00 |
| | Kareeberg | 130 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 130 | 140 | 92.86 |
| | Renosterberg | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 107 | 100.00 |
| Divlov ko Somo | Siyancuma | 518 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 518 | 534 | 97.00 |
| Pixley ka Serrie | Thembelihle | 500 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 240 | 530 | 770 | 68.83 |
| | Ubuntu | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 100.00 |
| | Umsobomvu | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 |
| | !Kheis | 80 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 80 | 100 | 80.00 |
| ZF Mgcawu | Dawid Kruiper | 128 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 64 | 604 | 192 | 796 | 24.12 |
| | Kai !Garib | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0.00 |

5.3 Water Availability Considerations

In the Northern Cape, the inherently low level of rainfall, its variability and inconsistency have become more frequent in the last three decades and it has, in most instances, led to recurring bouts of droughts in certain parts of the province. This is especially case in the western, northern, and central parts of the province.

Whilst there has been a return to normal rainfall patterns throughout most of South Africa, below average rainfalls are still being experienced in the western regions. In the Northern Cape this specifically translates to areas within the Namakwa and Pixley ka Seme District Municipalities.

The Northern Cape has 439 towns of which 72% (316) are reliant solely on groundwater. A further 26% rely on surface water and the remaining 2% rely on both surface and groundwater.

Groundwater level monitoring has indicated a decline in groundwater levels in all five the districts within the Northern Cape. Declines have been observed in Barkley West and Kimberley in the Frances Baard District, in Kuruman and Sishen in John Taolo Gaetsewe, in Groenwater and Postmasburg in ZF Mgcawu, in Griekwastad and De Aar in Pixley ka Seme and severe declines in Fraserburg, Sutherland, Nourivier and Port Nolloth in Namakwa.

As most towns in the Northern Cape are reliant on groundwater, the Department regularly perform surveys of the number of functional boreholes used for potable water in the province. This is in addition to its normal groundwater monitoring. From January to April 2020, the department as engaged local municipalities and contracted water service providers (WSPs), as well as consultants to determine the number of non-functional boreholes in the province.

Table 16: The number of non-functional boreholes in the Northern Cape.

| | BOREHOLE ST | ATUS | | | - | - | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------|------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Municipality | Non frontional | Reason | | | | | | |
| | Non-functional | Dried up | Vandalised | No Diesel | Electrical problem | Pump motor problem | Contaminated | Not equippe |
| Karoo Hoogland | 3 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Richtersveld | 10 | 2 | | | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Nama Khoi | 6 | 5 | | | | 1 | | |
| Hantam | 30 | 3 | | | | 1 | | 26 |
| Kamiesberg | 45 | 45 | | | | | | |
| Khai-Ma | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Namakwa | 94 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 29 |
| Dawid Kruiper | 3 | 3 | | | | | | |
| KaiGarib | | | | | | | | |
| !Kheis | 6 | 6 | | | | | | |
| Tsantsabane | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| Kgatelopele | 1 | | | | | 1 | | |
| ZF MgCawu | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Joe Morolong | 222 | 76 | 45 | | 1 | 89 | 11 | |
| Gamagara | 6 | | 2 | | 3 | 1 | | |
| Ga-Segonyana | 18 | 4 | 2 | | | 9 | 3 | |
| JTG | 246 | 80 | 49 | 0 | 4 | 99 | 14 | 0 |
| Phokwane | | | | | | | | |
| Magareng | 2 | | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Sol Plaatje | | | | | | | | |
| Dikgatlong | | | | | | | | |
| Frances Baard | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Thembelihle | 3 | 3 | | | | | | |
| Renosterberg | 3 | | | | | 3 | | |
| Umsobomvu | 12 | | | | 3 | 7 | | 2 |
| Ubuntu | 19 | 1 | | | 1 | | | 17 |
| Kareeberg | 6 | 3 | | | | | 3 | |
| Siyathemba | 7 | 6 | | | | 1 | | |
| Siyancuma | 29 | 12 | | | | 12 | | 5 |
| Emthanjeni | 39 | 19 | | | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| Pixley ka Seme | 118 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 6 | 27 |
| Grand Total | 471 | 191 | 49 | 0 | 16 | 137 | 22 | 56 |

5.4 Hotspot Selection and Tank Locations

During the first wave of COVID-19 the criteria discussed in the three preceding sections were used to compile a preliminary list of hotspots and tank as shown in Figure 8. Table 17 lists the local municipalities within the Northern Cape, showing the households on formal and informal stands, the number of backlogs and the number of non-functional boreholes. This was then used as a basis for deploying the number of procured tanks (770).

The two main driving factors affecting the installation of tanks are thus the number of backlogs and the severity of drought, which is in part reflected, but not described in total, by the number of non-functional boreholes. The last two columns in the table show the number of backlogs relative to the number of tanks installed, and the number of non-functional boreholes per tank installed, respectively. The backlogs per tank figure is inclusive of both formal and informal backlogs. This then allows us to effectively illustrate in which regions the drought played a more important role as opposed to the number of water service backlogs. This is illustrated in **Error! Reference source not found.**, where backlogs and non-functional boreholes are represented as percentages. The line indicates the total percentage of tanks allocated to the affected area.



Figure 8: Covid-19 Emergency Water Tank Locations Proposed

During the first wave water tanks in municipalities were filled via tankers. In the majority of the tankering was facilitated via Rand Water and Sedibeng Water as Implementing Agents. In the remaining cases, tanks were filled by the corresponding local municipalities. Municipalities were however warned in July and August 2020 that funding for tankering would not continue indefinitely and a more sustainable source should be found. Hence, to ensure continued water provision and the sustainability of the water tanks, it was deemed imperative to connect water tanks to existing or new reticulation or find funding sources for the continuation of tankering. An allowance was made to Local Municipalities to use 20% of their DWS infrastructure grant funding to connect emergency water tanks supplied during COVID-19 lockdown to a nearby source or to continue tankering of water.

In preparation for a second wave and the regional office of the Department of Water and Sanitation will then prioritize the sustainability of the provided water tanks to ensure continued availability of water to affected communities. The tankering of water was extended until 5 January 2020 by the Department to ensure adequate water availability during the festive period and during a possible second wave of COVID-19. The continued tankering in being implemented by Sedibeng Water.

| | | | | | | | | | Non-functional |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|
| | Hotspots | Households | Backlogs | Households | Backlogs | Non-functional | Number of tanks | Backlogs | Boreholes per |
| District / Municipality | Estimated | Formal | Formal | Informal | Informal | Boreholes | installed | per tank | tank |
| Frances Baard | 175 | 81603 | 2087 | 4588 | 2873 | 2 | 248 | 20.00 | 0.01 |
| Dikgatlong | 47 | 8523 | 2 | . 657 | 82 | _ | 61 | 1.38 | |
| Magareng | 5 | 6726 | 1227 | - | | 2 | 31 | 39.58 | 0.06 |
| Phokwane | 30 | 14899 | 639 | 1081 | 1081 | | 51 | 33.73 | |
| Sol Plaatje | 93 | 51455 | 219 | 2850 | 1710 | | 105 | 18.37 | |
| John Taolo Gaetsewe | 241 | . 61730 | 6137 | 3431 | 2701 | 246 | 353 | 25.04 | 0.70 |
| Ga Segonyana | 82 | . 22367 | 1316 | 2130 | 1600 | 18 | 82 | 35.56 | 0.22 |
| Gamagara | 50 | 15993 | 159 | 200 | 0 | 6 | 50 | 3.18 | 0.12 |
| Joe Morolong | 109 | 23370 | 4662 | . 1101 | 1101 | 222 | 221 | 26.08 | 1.00 |
| Namakwa | 48 | 27536 | 380 | 324 | 0 | 94 | 48 | 7.92 | 1.96 |
| Hantam | | 4239 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Kamiesberg | 18 | , 2904 | 98 | , 0 | 0 | 45 | 18 | 5.44 | 2.50 |
| Karoo Hoogland | | 2372 | . 8 | , 89 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0.00 | - |
| Khai-Ma | 10 | 2304 | 36 | , 47 | 0 | _ | 10 | 3.60 | 0.00 |
| Nama Khoi | 8 | , 12437 | 226 | , 125 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 28.25 | 0.75 |
| Richtersveld | 12 | . 3280 | 12 | . 16 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 1.00 | 0.83 |
| Pixley ka Seme | 90 | 37106 | 119 | 1557 | 266 | 118 | 93 | 4.14 | 1.27 |
| Emthanjeni | 8 | , 8279 | 2 | . 0 | 0 | 39 | 8 | 0.25 | 4.88 |
| Kareeberg | 7 | 2135 | 0 | 140 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 1.43 | 0.86 |
| Renosterberg | 4 | 2594 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| Siyancuma | 37 | 4332 | . 2 | . 534 | 16 | 29 | 38 | 0.47 | 0.76 |
| SiyaThemba | 6 | , 6262 | 75 | , 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 12.50 | 1.17 |
| Thembelihle | 4 | 2265 | 37 | 770 | 240 | 3 | 5 | 55.40 | 0.60 |
| Ubuntu | 8 | , 3983 | 1 | . 5 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0.11 | 2.11 |
| Umsobomvu | 16 | , 7256 | 2 | . 1 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 0.13 | 0.75 |
| ZF Mgcawu | 141 | 45606 | 2718 | , 996 | 724 | 11 | 142 | 24.24 | 0.08 |
| !Kheis | 10 | 2778 | , 0 | 100 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 2.00 | 0.60 |
| Dawid Kruiper | 20 | 23824 | 2061 | . 796 | 604 | 3 | 22 | 121.14 | 0.14 |
| Kai !Garib | 45 | 7849 | 654 | 100 | 100 | _ | 45 | 16.76 | 0.00 |
| Kgatelopele | 15 | 3609 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0.20 | 0.07 |
| Tsantsebane | 50 | 7546 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| Grand Total | 695 | 253581 | 11441 | 10896 | 6564 | 471 | 884 | 20.37 | 0.53 |

Table 17: Proposed number of hotspots / tanks as determined by backlogs and drought.

5.5 RESPONSE

The regional response focused on three main areas as outlined in this section.

5.5.1 Emergency Water Provision

Objectives:

- 1. Ensuring the sustainable provision of water to communities.
- 2. Sufficient water infrastructure to ensure provision of potable water.

Actions:

To ensure the successful implementation the following actions have been identified for this intervention:

- 1. Continual monitoring of tank connection project progress via existing project management structures within the region.
- 2. Ensure sufficient raw water availability through ground- and surface water monitoring.
- 3. Provide groundwater management assistance to municipalities experience groundwater shortages.
- 4. Reprioritization of funding (WSIG, RBIG) to address infrastructure shortfalls.
- 5. Continuation of implementation of drought relief.

To date 884 water tanks have been provided to municipalities throughout the Northern Cape Province. During a possible resurgence, the department will focus on ensuring that the supplied water tanks remain a sustainable source of potable water. To achieve this, it will be necessary to connect the provided water tanks to municipal reticulation networks.

Table 18 lists the municipalities that received water tanks and the planned number of tanks to be connected to reticulation. Currently, 43 tanks have been connected.

Table 18: The list of municipalities that received water tanks via drought relief or Rand Water COVID-19 funding and the progress with regards to the connection thereof to reticulation networks.

| | Total St Tan | orage ks | | Total Tanks Planned To | Total Tanks Planned To Be | Total Tanks Planned To Be | Total Tanks |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Municipality | Sedibeng Water | Rand Water | Status | Be Connected By Own Or MIG | Connected Through WSIG / RBIG | Connected Through Construction Unit | Already Connected |
| Richtersveld | 12 | 0 | Procurement | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Nama Khoi | 0 | 8 | Complete | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Kamiesberg | 18 | 0 | Planning | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| Hantam | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Khai Ma | | 10 | Complete | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Karoo Hoogland | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Ubuntu | 9 | 0 | Planning | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Kareeberg | 7 | 0 | Planning | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Emthanjeni | 0 | 8 | Construction | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Thembelihle | 5 | 0 | No Plan | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Renosterberg | 0 | 4 | Construction | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Umsobomvu | 0 | 16 | Planning | 16 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Siyathemba | 0 | 6 | Complete | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Siyancuma | 4 | 34 | Planning | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 |
| Kai!Garib | 0 | 45 | Planning | 8 | 50 | 0 | 8 |
| Kgatelopele | 15 | 0 | Planning | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| !Kheis | 0 | 10 | Planning | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

| Tsantsabane | 0 | 50 | Procurement | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Dawid Kruiper | 0 | 22 | Planning | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sol Plaatje | 105 | 0 | Planning | 0 | 0 | 105 | 0 |
| Magareng | 31 | 0 | Planning | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Dikgatlong | 9 | 52 | Planning | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phokwane | 51 | 0 | Planning | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Joe Morolong | 89 | 132 | Procurement | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 |
| Ga-Segonyana | 63 | 19 | Procurement | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 |
| Gamagara | 0 | 50 | Planning | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 418 | 466 | | 264 | 490 | 143 | 43 |

5.6 COMMON CHALLENGES AND WAY FORWARD

Common challenges:

| MUNICIPALITY | NATURE OF CHALLENGE | INTERVENTIONS |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| ALL MUNICIPALITIES | Inadequate bulk water supply Poor water metering Inadequate reticulation network Vandalism and theft of infrastructure, leading to high water loss Lack of proper O&M Procurement planning Institutional instability | On-going maintenance of existing boreholes, drilling boreholes Upgrade of different WTW to on additional capacity required Upgrade of pump station. Implementation of Bulk Water Scheme Implementing the Water Loss Management Project |
| | Dilapidated Wastewater treatment facilities Non-functional sewer pump stations and sewer spillages Water debt owed to Sedibeng Water leading to water pressure reduction Non enforcement of bylaws governing the quality of industrial effluent (e.g. Abattoirs) discharged in the WWTW | Upgrade of sewer network, sewer bulk line and pump stations Ongoing engagements with Sedibeng Water to resolve payment of historic debt. |

Way Forward:

- Augment support to local municipalities to complete infrastructure projects by appointing additional technical staff within the region.
- Improve regulation of water users to ensure compliance to water quantity and quality.
- Better Coordination on WULA assessments.
- WULA Ongoing awareness creation to external stakeholders.
- Public awareness and campaigns through ward councillors and ward committee to curb the vandalism and theft of municipal assets